

UNLV Theses, Dissertations, Professional Papers, and Capstones

12-2010

# Donepezil and galanin interactions in an animal model of Alzheimer's disease

Jonathan J. Sabbagh University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/thesesdissertations

Part of the Nervous System Diseases Commons, Neurosciences Commons, and the Pathology Commons

#### **Repository Citation**

Sabbagh, Jonathan J., "Donepezil and galanin interactions in an animal model of Alzheimer's disease" (2010). *UNLV Theses, Dissertations, Professional Papers, and Capstones*. 771. https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/thesesdissertations/771

This Thesis is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Scholarship@UNLV with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this Thesis in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself.

This Thesis has been accepted for inclusion in UNLV Theses, Dissertations, Professional Papers, and Capstones by an authorized administrator of Digital Scholarship@UNLV. For more information, please contact digitalscholarship@unlv.edu.



## DONEPEZIL AND GALANIN INTERACTIONS IN AN ANIMAL MODEL OF

## ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE

by

Jonathan Jacob Sabbagh

Bachelor of Science Tulane University 2004

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Master of Arts in Psychology Department of Psychology College of Liberal Arts

Graduate College University of Nevada, Las Vegas December 2010



Copyright by Jonathan Jacob Sabbagh 2011 All Rights Reserved





# THE GRADUATE COLLEGE

We recommend the thesis prepared under our supervision by

# Jonathan Jacob Sabbagh

entitled

# Donepezil and Galanin Interactions in an Animal Model of Alzheimer's Disease

be accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

# Master of Arts in Psychology

Jefferson Kinney, Committee Chair

Daniel Allen, Committee Member

Laurel Pritchard, Committee Member

Frank van Breukelen, Graduate Faculty Representative

Ronald Smith, Ph. D., Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies and Dean of the Graduate College

December 2010



#### ABSTRACT

#### Donepezil and Galanin Interactions in an Animal Model of Alzheimer's Disease

by

Jonathan Jacob Sabbagh

Dr. Jefferson Kinney, Examination Committee Chair Assistant Professor of Psychology University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder marked by a progressive loss of cognitive function. One of the neurobiological hallmarks of AD is a progressive loss of cholinergic neurons and a decrease in the amount of acetylcholine in the brain. Pharmacological therapies have targeted the cholinergic system, specifically first-line, palliative treatment using acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors, such as donepezil. Donepezil has been shown to increase cholinergic tone and ameliorate some of the cognitive deficits in AD patients. Galanin, a neuropeptide that inhibits the evoked release of several neurotransmitters including acetylcholine as well as modulates seveal intracellular cascades, is overexpressed in AD resulting in an as yet unidentified modulation of neurobiological function. Galanin also impairs learning and memory when administered centrally to rodents, suggesting it may contribute to the cognitive impairments observed in AD. While the mechanism by which galanin impairs learning has yet to be determined, studies suggest it is through cholinergic mechanisms. We investigated the ability of donepezil to rescue learning and memory deficits induced by galanin administration, and by extension isolated whether the learning impairments produced by galanin were ameliorated by increasing cholinergic tone. We also investigated the effects of donepezil and galanin in an animal model of AD, i.e. their



iii

effects on learning and memory following a slight lesion of cholinergic neurons analogous to the cholinergic loss seen in AD. This study provides vital information about the relationship between galanin-induced deficits and acetylcholine, and helps to clarify the roles of donepezil and galanin in AD.



CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION 1   Research Questions 6   CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 9   Amyloid β Hypothesis 9   Tau Hypothesis 17   Cholinergic Hypothesis 20   Excitotoxicity Approach 26   Galanin Literature 28   Hypothesis and Implications 34   CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 37   Subjects 37   Surgery 37   Drug Treatments 38   Morris Water Task 40   Behavioral Testing 40   Tissue Collection 42   SDS-PAGE (Western Blots) 42   Immunohistochemistry 44   Cell Counts 45   Statistical Analysis 45   CHAPTER 4 RESULTS 46   Experiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	ABSTRACTiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION 1   Research Questions 6   CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 9   Amyloid β Hypothesis 9   Tau Hypothesis 17   Cholinergic Hypothesis 20   Excitotoxicity Approach 26   Galanin Literature 28   Hypothesis and Implications 34   CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 37   Subjects 37   Subjects 37   Drug Treatments 38   Morris Water Task 40   Behavioral Testing 40   Tissue Collection 42   SDS-PAGE (Western Blots) 42   Immunohistochemistry 44   Cell Counts 45   Statistical Analysis 45   CHAPTER 4 RESULTS 46   Experiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	
Research Questions 6   CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE 9   Amyloid β Hypothesis 9   Tau Hypothesis 17   Cholinergic Hypothesis 20   Excitotoxicity Approach 26   Galanin Literature 28   Hypothesis and Implications 34   CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 37   Subjects 37   Drug Treatments 38   Morris Water Task 40   Behavioral Testing 40   Tissue Collection 42   SDS-PAGE (Western Blots) 42   Immunohistochemistry 44   Cell Counts 45   Statistical Analysis 45   CHAPTER 4 RESULTS 46   Experiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 47   Wortis Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION I
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	Research Questions
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATORE	
Amyloid B Hypothesis9Tau Hypothesis17Cholinergic Hypothesis20Excitotoxicity Approach26Galanin Literature28Hypothesis and Implications34CHAPTER 3MATERIALS AND METHODSSubjects37Subjects37Drug Treatments38Morris Water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSAperiment 146Experiment 247Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51Cell Counts51	CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE
Tau Hypothesis1/Cholinergic Hypothesis20Excitotoxicity Approach26Galanin Literature28Hypothesis and Implications34CHAPTER 3MATERIALS AND METHODSSubjects37Surgery37Drug Treatments38Morris Water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSCHAPTER 4RESULTSMorris Water Maze46Experiment 146Morris Water Maze47Morris Water Maze47Statistical Counts51Cell Counts51	Amyloid β Hypothesis
Choinergic Hypothesis20Excitotoxicity Approach26Galanin Literature28Hypothesis and Implications34CHAPTER 3MATERIALS AND METHODSSubjects37Surgery37Drug Treatments38Morris Water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSCHAPTER 4RESULTSMorris Water Maze47Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51	Tau Hypotnesis
Excitotoxicity Approach26Galanin Literature28Hypothesis and Implications34CHAPTER 3MATERIALS AND METHODS37Subjects37Drug Treatments38Morris Water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSRESULTS46Experiment 146Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51	Cholinergic Hypotnesis
Galanin Literature28Hypothesis and Implications.34CHAPTER 3MATERIALS AND METHODS37Subjects37Surgery.37Drug Treatments38Morris Water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSExperiment 146Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51	Excitotoxicity Approach
Hypothesis and Implications.34CHAPTER 3MATERIALS AND METHODS37Subjects37Surgery.37Drug Treatments38Morris Water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts.45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSExperiment 146Morris Water Maze47Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51	Galanin Literature
CHAPTER 3MATERIALS AND METHODS37Subjects37Surgery37Drug Treatments38Morris Water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSMorris Water Maze46Experiment 146Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51	Hypothesis and Implications
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 37   Subjects 37   Surgery 37   Drug Treatments 38   Morris Water Task 40   Behavioral Testing 40   Tissue Collection 42   SDS-PAGE (Western Blots) 42   Immunohistochemistry 44   Cell Counts 45   Statistical Analysis 45   CHAPTER 4 RESULTS 46   Experiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51   Cell Counts 51	
Subjects57Surgery37Drug Treatments38Morris Water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSExperiment 146Morris Water Maze47Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51	CHAPTER 5 MATERIALS AND METHODS
Surgery 57   Drug Treatments 38   Morris Water Task 40   Behavioral Testing 40   Tissue Collection 42   SDS-PAGE (Western Blots) 42   Immunohistochemistry 44   Cell Counts 45   Statistical Analysis 45   CHAPTER 4 RESULTS   Experiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 47   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	Subjects
Drug Treatments38Morris Water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSExperiment 146Morris Water Maze46Experiment 247Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51	Surgery
Morris water Task40Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSCHAPTER 4RESULTSMorris Water Maze46Experiment 146Experiment 247Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51	Drug Treatments
Behavioral Testing40Tissue Collection42SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)42Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSExperiment 146Morris Water Maze46Experiment 247Morris Water Maze47Stater Blotting51Cell Counts51	Morris Water Task
Tissue Collection 42   SDS-PAGE (Western Blots) 42   Immunohistochemistry 44   Cell Counts 45   Statistical Analysis 45   CHAPTER 4 RESULTS   Kexperiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 46   Experiment 2 47   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	Behavioral Testing
SDS-PAGE (Western Blots) 42   Immunohistochemistry 44   Cell Counts 45   Statistical Analysis 45   CHAPTER 4 RESULTS 46   Experiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 46   Experiment 2 47   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	Tissue Collection
Immunohistochemistry44Cell Counts.45Statistical Analysis45CHAPTER 4RESULTSExperiment 146Morris Water Maze46Experiment 247Morris Water Maze47Western Blotting51Cell Counts51	SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)
Cell Counts	Immunohistochemistry
Statistical Analysis 45   CHAPTER 4 RESULTS 46   Experiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 46   Experiment 2 47   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	Cell Counts
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS 46   Experiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 46   Experiment 2 47   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	Statistical Analysis
CHAPTER 4 RESOLTS 40   Experiment 1 46   Morris Water Maze 46   Experiment 2 47   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	
Experiment 1 40   Morris Water Maze 46   Experiment 2 47   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	CHAFTER 4 RESULTS
Monis water Maze 40   Experiment 2 47   Morris Water Maze 47   Western Blotting 51   Cell Counts 51	Experiment 1
Experiment 2	Monts water Maze
Western Blotting	Experiment 2
CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS AND ELITURE DIRECTIONS51	Morris water Maze
CHARTER 5 SUNMARY CONCLUSIONS AND EVENINE DIRECTIONS 54	Western Blotting
CHAPTER 5 CHAMARY CONCLUSIONS AND ELITURE DIRECTIONS 54	Cell Counts
	CHADTED 5 SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS AND ELITURE DIRECTIONS 54
Discussion of Posults 54	Discussion of Desults
Discussion of Results	Discussion of Results
BIBLIOGRAPHY 64	BIBLIOGRAPHY
VITA	VITA





v

#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by a progressive loss of memory and a decline in cognitive function (Heese & Akatsu, 2006). It is the most common cause of age-related dementia accounting for 50-60% of agerelated cases. The average age of onset for AD is 65 years, while most cases occurring before this age are referred to as early-onset familial AD, with identifiable genetic links. Other symptoms accompanying the memory loss are confusion, disorientation, anxiety, delusions and apathy or depression (Terry & Katzman, 1983). As the disease advances, symptoms may include anger, aggression, language problems, and impaired motor function (Souren et al., 1995; Waldemar et al., 2007). In addition to the behavioral disruptions associated with AD, several pathological changes have been observed in the brain including beta-amyloid (A $\beta$ ) plaque deposition, neurofibrillary tangle formation, and the progressive loss of cholinergic neurons (Bartus et al., 1982; Glenner & Wong, 1984; Masters et al., 1985; Arriagada et al., 1992; Goedert, 1996). These pathological changes are the hallmarks of the disease, and may be responsible for the cognitive and behavioral deficits exhibited in AD.

One of the most extensively investigated hallmarks of the disorder is the senile plaques observed in post mortem examinations. A $\beta$  proteins form the core of senile plaques, one of the pathological changes which may be inducing the neuronal loss seen in AD (Glenner & Wong, 1984; Masters et al., 1985). Senile plaques are extracellular structures composed mainly of aggregated A $\beta$ , and they are seen almost exclusively in AD and AD-related pathologies like Down syndrome (Wisniewski et al., 1985). Several



studies suggest that the accumulation of A $\beta$  in the brain may initiate or lead to the pathogenesis of AD (Selkoe, 2001). These findings and others have led to the amyloid cascade hypothesis (Hardy & Higgins, 1992), which suggests that the amyloid deposits that form plaques are the causative event in AD and the resulting neurodegeneration is a by-product of this buildup. Evidence supporting this hypothesis comes from genetic studies showing mutations in the genes associated with familial and late-onset AD lead to increased A $\beta$  aggregation and cognitive deficits (Goate et al., 1991; Murrell et al., 1991; Corder et al., 1993; Levy-Lahad et al., 1995; Sherrington et al., 1995).

Investigators have been searching for genetic ties to AD for years in an attempt to determine the etiology of the disease but with only mild success. Although a few genes have been implicated as risk factors, none have yet provided a clear link between the pathogenesis of AD and specific genetic targets, with most promising targets tied to familial AD. Mutations in the amyloid precursor protein (APP), which is responsible for the formation of A $\beta$  peptides and whose encoding gene is located on chromosome 21, have been linked to early-onset familial AD (Goate et al., 1991; Murrell et al., 1991). Also, patients with Down syndrome, a trisomy (additional copy) of chromosome 21, show AD pathology by 40 years of age (Holtzman et al., 1996). Alternative genetic approaches have implicated mutations in genes called presenilins (PS) which have also been tied to early-onset AD, specifically presentiin-1 (PS-1) and presentiin-2 (PS-2) located on chromosomes 14 and 1, respectively (Levy-Lahad et al., 1995; Sherrington et al., 1995). In AD, genetic investigations have implicated a specific allele of Apolipoprotein E (ApoE), whose gene is localized on chromosome 19, which has been linked to an increased likelihood of developing the disease (Corder et al., 1993).



Although genetic linkage studies have provided useful insight into potential AD etiology, genetic mutations related to A $\beta$  can only account for a small percentage of AD cases. A further limitation of the A $\beta$  hypothesis is that many studies have indicated that there is little to no correlation between the number or size of amyloid deposits and the severity of the dementia, and that other pathologies seem to correlate better with the memory loss seen in AD (Terry et al., 1991; Arriagada et al., 1992). Therefore, additional pathologies such as the hyperphosphorylation of tau have been the focus of much research.

Neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) are another neuropathological hallmark of AD and are composed mainly of hyperphosphorylated tau, a protein that is associated with microtubule stability and assembly. Tau hyperphosphorylation leads to the formation of paired helical filaments (PHF) which are thought to lead to microtubule disintegration and neuron death (Goedert, 1996). Because neurofibrillary pathology, as well as the number of cortical tangles, correlates positively with the severity of dementia in AD (Arriagada et al., 1992), it is of great interest to investigators of the disorder and those developing pharmacological treatments. In addition, the regions of the brain that appear to undergo the greatest degeneration of neurons and synapses in AD are those that project to or from areas that have high densities of plaques and tangles, specifically the hippocampus, neocortex, and basal forebrain (for review, see Kar et al., 2004). The latter region contains large numbers of cholinergic neurons which project to the hippocampus and cortex. Acetylcholine (ACh), principally an excitatory neurotransmitter, is important for attentional processes, as well as learning and memory (Deutsch, 1971; Wenk et al., 1994; Woolf, 1996). A reduction in neurons containing ACh has been consistently observed in AD, particularly in the early stages of the disorder.



Cholinergic cell loss is a hallmark of the neurodegeneration in AD, marked by a progressive loss of ACh-containing neurons with a corresponding decline in cognitive function (Perry et al., 1981; Terry et al., 1991). The cholinergic hypothesis of AD postulates that the cognitive deficits in AD are caused by the early loss of cholinergic basal forebrain (CBF) neurons (Bartus et al., 1982). This hypothesis is supported by many studies which demonstrate that the loss of CBF neurons occurs early in the disease progression, likely before a clinical diagnosis is reached (Bartus et al., 1982; Bowen et al., 1982; Beach et al., 1997; Beach et al., 2000). Also, the severity of the dementia in AD is highly correlated with the amount of cholinergic loss (Perry et al., 1981). Furthermore, the first drugs to be developed for AD have targeted the cholinergic system with moderate effectiveness. Donepezil, the first FDA-approved drug for AD and also the most widely prescribed, has shown to be effective at ameliorating behavioral symptoms associated with learning and memory in the early stages of the disease (Rogers et al., 2000). Donepezil is an acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitor, effectively reducing the extent of the breakdown of ACh and increasing the available amount of ACh at the synapse (Sugimoto et al., 1990). It has long been thought that AChE inhibitors only have the ability to alleviate the symptoms of AD, but recent studies suggest they may have disease-modifying effects as well (Sabbagh et al., 2006). One possible explanation as to why these CBF neurons are dying is related to calcium levels and excitotoxicity (Lucas & Newhouse, 1957).

Excitotoxicity results from the overload or overabundance of calcium ions in neurons, and can be caused by the excessive activation of several receptors including glutamate receptors (Lucas & Newhouse, 1957). This excessive receptor activation can



lead to a large and persistent influx of calcium into the cell which produces too much excitation that can lead to cell death. Excitotoxicity has been tied to several neurodegenerative disorders including Huntington's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and AD (Mattson et al., 1992; Taylor-Robinson et al., 1994; Cluskey & Ramsden, 2001). In AD specifically, increased calcium levels have been found in cells containing NFT and may even precede tangle formation (McKee et al., 1990). Furthermore, A $\beta$ production is increased as a result of calcium influx *in vitro* (Querfurth & Selkoe, 1994). An endogenous neuropeptide that seems to be effective at reducing excitotoxicity and which has the capacity to protect neurons from overactivity (i.e. trophic properties) is galanin (Cortes et al., 1990).

Galanin acts primarily as a neuromodulator in the central nervous system (CNS), interacting with several neurotransmitters including ACh, serotonin (5-HT), glutamate, and norepinephrine (Dutar et al., 1989; Pieribone et al., 1995; Kinney et al., 1998; Xu et al., 1998). Galanin is also overexpressed in AD, hyperinnervating surviving CBF neurons at concentrations as high as twice that of age-matched controls (Chan-Palay, 1988; Beal et al., 1990). When administered into the lateral ventricles or hippocampus of rodents, galanin has been shown to impair reference and working memory in several learning and memory tasks, including the Morris water task, T-maze delayed alternation, delayed non-matching to position, starburst radial maze and trace fear conditioning (Mastropaolo et al., 1988; Robinson & Crawley, 1993; Ogren et al., 1996; Kinney et al., 2002; Kinney et al., 2003). Based on these findings, it is possible that galanin is contributing to the cognitive deficits observed in AD by inhibiting CBF neurons in an attempt to serve a neuroprotective role. One important question that has not been directly investigated is



whether galanin specifically modulating cholinergic tone may be responsible for the learning impairments above, which would have large implications for galanin in AD.

#### **Research Questions**

The present study investigated in experiment 1 if donepezil, the aforementioned AChE inhibitor, was able to rescue any of the cognitive impairment induced by central galanin administration consistent with other investigations. Because galanin has been shown to inhibit cholinergic signaling (Fisone et al., 1987), donepezil may be able to reverse the galanin-induced deficit by increasing cholinergic tone. If donepezil is able to rescue the learning and memory deficits in the galanin-infused animals, then it suggests that galanin may produce a deficit by modulating cholinergic mechanisms. However, if donepezil is unable to significantly rescue the galanin-induced deficit, it is likely the learning and memory impairments produced by galanin are unrelated to its suppression of cholinergic activity. Further, these data would also provide important information regarding the galanin-induced deficit reported in other studies. Such findings would indicate that galanin's role in AD may not be as closely tied to the cholinergic system as the literature suggests. Either way, the first part of our study would provide crucial insight into galanin-ACh interactions, as well as perhaps answer some questions as to galanin's role in AD.

In experiment 2, we examined the effect that galanin and donepezil have on learning and memory, individually as well as in combination, following administration of the cholinergic neurotoxin, ethylcholine mustard aziridinium (AF64A). AF64A is a selective cytotoxin which specifically targets cholinergic neurons and produces



www.manaraa.com

behavioral and histological deficits in the targeted region (for review see Smith, 1988). The effects of a cholinergic lesion on spatial learning and memory have been quite inconsistent with many studies finding a deficit while others have not (Walsh et al., 1984; Lamberty et al., 1992; Nakamura et al., 1992; Opello et al., 1993; Dornan et al., 1996; Bizon et al., 2003; Frick et al., 2004; Dashniani et al., 2009). The extent of the lesion and the amount of toxin administered likely influence whether or not deficits are observed following cholinergic-specific lesions. Our study utilized a relatively low dose of AF64A in order to damage but not fully destroy the cholinergic system. Further, our intent was to mimic the cholinergic loss observed in early or even pre-clinical AD necessitating a smaller dose of the toxin.

We hypothesized that co-administration of donepezil and galanin following an AF64A-induced cholinergic lesion would in part rescue any learning and memory deficits caused by the toxin and potentially preserve a large number of cholinergic neurons. It may be possible that following the cholinergic lesion, galanin may preserve some cholinergic function, if it is in fact a trophic factor *in vivo*, and donepezil may behaviorally offset the AF64A-induced loss of ACh. If donepezil and galanin together are able to ameliorate the cognitive impairment and cell loss to a greater degree than just donepezil alone, then this finding would lend significant support to the argument for developing galanin agonists as an adjunctive treatment with donepezil in AD patients. Alternatively, if donepezil and galanin administration fails to improve performance or cholinergic neuron survival, this finding would suggest the utility of galanin antagonists in AD (if the increase in survival is absent, galanin may simply be exacerbating the cognitive impairment). Regardless of the findings, based on the overlap of the efficacy of



donepezil to ameliorate AD symptoms and the overexpression of galanin in AD, this investigation will contribute to the understanding of each of their roles in the disorder. We are genuinely surprised that there is no literature along these lines and this experiment should reveal insightful information about AD and the possible ways it can be therapeutically treated in the future. Below we have outlined a more comprehensive review of each of the aforementioned approaches and findings in AD.



#### CHAPTER 2

#### **REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

#### Amyloid $\beta$ Hypothesis

The A $\beta$  protein accumulates extracellularly in AD resulting in the formation of senile plaques, which may lead to cell damage and even cell loss. A $\beta$  was first purified in 1984 from cerebrovascular amyloid protein by Glenner & Wong and from senile plaques the next year by Masters et al. (1985), which was when its amino acid sequence was determined. Various mechanisms have been suggested to account for the neurotoxicity of A $\beta$  peptides and senile plaques. Various studies have discovered a disruption in calcium homeostasis following A $\beta$  administration which could potentially lead to excitotoxicity and cell loss. Further, it has been suggested that plaques may disturb surrounding cytoskeletal elements by "squishing" nearby cells. Although the mechanism by which A $\beta$  contributes to neurodegeneration remains to be conclusively demonstrated, how the peptide is formed has been better characterized.

Aβ is formed by the proteolytic processing of its precursor protein, APP. APP is a membrane-spanning protein encoded for on chromosome 21. The APP gene product appears to be involved with synaptic transmission, axonal transport, cell adhesion and support, and cholesterol metabolism (for review, see Selkoe, 1994). Knockout (elimination of the gene product) and knockdown (reduction in the relative amount of a gene product) studies of APP have provided extensive information about its function. APP seems to play a role in muscle development or function, and has also been implicated in the formation of long-term potentiation (LTP), a cellular process argued to be essential for learning (Douglas & Goddard, 1975; Kauer et al., 1988; Dawson et al.,



1999; Seabrook et al., 1999; Senechal et al., 2008). Under normal conditions, the APP protein is degraded via a series of events.

The proteolytic processing of APP results in A $\beta$  fragments of varying length, depending on where APP is cleaved by specific enzymes called secretases (Shoji et al., 1992; Sisodia & Price, 1995). If APP is cleaved by alpha ( $\alpha$ )-secretase, a soluble form of APP (sAPP) is secreted which is readily absorbed and processed by lysosomal proteolytic events. Cleavage by  $\alpha$ -secretase occurs in the extracellular domain of APP within the A $\beta$ sequence, thus preventing the formation of longer, neurotoxic forms of the A $\beta$  peptide (Lannfelt et al., 1995). The senile plaques seen in AD are primarily made of the 40 and 42 amino acid A $\beta$  peptides, with studies showing that the 42 amino acid A $\beta$  is more neurotoxic, i.e. more damaging to neurons, than the shorter variants (Roher et al., 1996). If APP is cleaved by beta ( $\beta$ ) and gamma ( $\gamma$ )-secretases, this leads to formation of an A $\beta$ peptide 39-43 amino acids long, which is similar to the lengths of A $\beta$  predominantly found in senile plaques in AD (Golde et al., 1992; Citron et al., 1996).

Initially,  $\beta$ -secretase (i.e. BACE) cleaves APP at its amino-terminus in the extracellular domain which is followed by cleavage by  $\gamma$ -secretase within the transmembrane domain (Vassar et al., 1999). BACE-1 inhibitors have been demonstrated to inhibit  $\beta$ -cleavage of APP and effectively lower A $\beta$  levels *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Hussain et al., 2007). Inhibitors of  $\gamma$ -secretase have also been shown to reduce A $\beta$  levels in the brain when administered to mice that overexpress a human mutant version of APP (Dovey et al., 2001). Inhibition of either of these two secretases theoretically leads to a reduction in the amount of A $\beta$  because both  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -secretase are necessary in order to cleave APP in a fashion that yields the 39-43 amino acid peptides known to aggregate



and form plaques. Considerable pharmacological research is being directed at developing  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -secretase inhibitors as therapeutic targets in an effort to reduce plaque load and perhaps even halt the progression of the disease. A great deal of research has also been conducted examining the genetic underpinnings of AD with a particular focus on genes tied to the proteolytic processing of A $\beta$ .

Genetic linkage studies have tied familial forms of AD to the gene for APP on chromosome 21 (Goate et al., 1991; Citron et al., 1992). Over twenty mutations in the gene have been identified to date that are thought to be responsible for the familial earlyonset form of the disease (Chai, 2007). Individuals with any of these mutations have a slightly increased chance, compared to the population as a whole, of developing earlyonset AD because they have a greater amount of APP and thus produce more A $\beta$  than normal individuals (Citron et al., 1992; Suzuki et al., 1994). Interestingly, almost all APP mutations are located within or adjacent to the A $\beta$  peptide region of the precursor protein, and thus may affect the proteolytic processing of APP (Schellenberg, 1995). Related to the processing of APP are the presenilin (PS) genes which have also been implicated in autosomal dominant familial AD.

Presenilins have been linked to early-onset AD, specifically PS-1 on chromosome 14 and PS-2 on chromosome 1 (Levy-Lahad et al., 1995; Sherrington et al., 1995). Similar to the aforementioned mutations in APP, PS mutations lead to increased A $\beta$  production, especially production of A $\beta_{42}$ , a species of the peptide known to be most toxic to neurons and overabundant in AD (Iwatsubo et al., 1994; Duff et al., 1996; Citron et al., 1997). Investigations into PS mutations and A $\beta$  deposition have shown that mutations in the PS gene increase the ratio of A $\beta_{42}$  versus A $\beta_{40}$  as compared to non-PS



mutant cases of AD (Borchelt et al., 1996). This shift in the production of A $\beta_{42}$  can have significant consequences, as many studies have suggested that A $\beta_{42}$  aggregates more readily than A $\beta_{40}$  and is deposited early in the formation of plaques (Jarrett et al., 1993; Iwatsubo et al., 1994). Furthermore, PS mutations seem to alter APP or A $\beta$  processing by increasing the amount of cleavage by  $\gamma$ -secretase, and thus increasing the amount of insoluble A $\beta$  released (DeStrooper et al., 1998; Wolfe et al., 1999). Knockout studies with animals that do not express the PS-1 and PS-2 genes show an abolishment of  $\gamma$ secretase mediated cleavage of APP (Steiner et al., 1999; Yu et al., 2001).

Based on these findings and others, Wolfe et al. (1999) proposed the hypothesis that PS itself is a  $\gamma$ -secretase, an intramembranous protease that is responsible for  $\gamma$ cleavage of APP. Despite further evidence supporting this hypothesis, it appears that PS and  $\gamma$ -secretase are not the same protein even though they are highly related (Takasugi et al., 2003). Also, although PS-knockout mice seem to exhibit a complete abolishment of  $\gamma$ -secretase activity, these animals still produce A $\beta_{42}$  peptide fragments, suggesting there are additional enzymes with activity similar to  $\gamma$ -secretase (Wilson et al., 2002). While the discovery that these three genes (APP, PS-1, and PS-2) are linked to familial AD advanced the investigation of the disorder, it is important to recognize that they collectively only account for about 10% of all familial early-onset cases (Cruts et al., 1998). Given that more than 95% of AD cases occur after the age of 60, it is clear that these genetic mutations contribute only minimally to the risk of developing the more common variant of the disease (Holmes, 2002). With that said, much more is known about the genetics of familial early-onset AD than about sporadic, late-onset AD.



One gene implicated in non-familial forms of AD is the gene coding for Apolipoprotein E (ApoE). ApoE is a protein critical in regulating brain A $\beta$  peptide levels and trafficking lipids throughout the brain (for review, see Holtzman, 2001). ApoE is responsible for clearing A $\beta$  peptides from the brain across the blood-brain barrier into the peripheral circulation (LaDu et al., 1994; LaDu et al., 1995; Morikawa et al., 2005). A $\beta$ peptides are normally generated at very high levels in the brain and are cleared at an equivalent rate (Bateman et al., 2006). Thus, even small reductions in the clearance of A $\beta$ could result in elevated levels of A $\beta$  peptides and eventual plaque formation. The lipidation status of ApoE appears to be important with regard to how well it can bind to A $\beta$  and clear the peptide from the brain (Tokuda et al., 2000). If ApoE is in a lipidated form, it is more effective at clearing A $\beta$  than if it is non-lipidated.

Another way that  $A\beta$  is cleared from the brain is through a proteolytic mechanism involving either neprilysin (NEP) (Iwata et al., 2000) or insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE) (Kurochkin & Goto, 1994). Inhibition of either of these proteinases leads to a substantial elevation of  $A\beta$  levels in the brain and increased plaque deposition (Dolev and Michaelson, 2004). Recent research suggests that ApoE facilitates these enzymes, allowing them to degrade  $A\beta$  (Jiang et al., 2008). The ability of ApoE to clear  $A\beta$  is also dependent upon the isoform or allele of ApoE (Corder et al., 1993).

ApoE has three alleles: ApoE- $\epsilon$ 2, ApoE- $\epsilon$ 3, and ApoE- $\epsilon$ 4, with one or two copies of the  $\epsilon$ 4 allele leading to an increased risk of developing AD (Corder et al., 1993). Studies with transgenic mice overexpressing APP have demonstrated ApoE isoformspecific effects on the ability of each allele to clear A $\beta$  from the brain. The most effective allele at eliminating A $\beta$  is the  $\epsilon$ 2 allele, followed by the  $\epsilon$ 3 allele, with the least effective



being the  $\varepsilon$ 4 allele (Holtzman, 2004). Thus, individuals with one or two  $\varepsilon$ 4 alleles (two alleles being the least effective possible form) of ApoE have a less effective mechanism for clearing A $\beta$  from the brain, resulting in increased levels of the peptide (Saunders et al., 1993). In fact, a genetic study done by Corder et al. (1993) showed that over 90% of subjects examined who had two copies of the  $\varepsilon 4$  allele (4/4) had AD. Almost 50% of subjects with one copy of the  $\varepsilon 3$  and one copy of the  $\varepsilon 4$  (3/4) were affected with AD. Only about 20% of subjects with no copies of the  $\varepsilon$ 4 allele (2/2, 3/3, or 2/3) had the disease. Corder et al. (1993) also looked at the average age of onset in individuals with one or two copies of the  $\varepsilon$ 4 allele. The authors found that expression of it leads to a significantly earlier age of onset, with two copies of the  $\varepsilon 4$  allele leading to an earlier onset than just one copy. While the discovery of the ApoE gene and its relation to A $\beta$  is critical to understanding AD pathogenesis, it is not a determinant of the disease and it must be regarded carefully. A consequence of the A $\beta$  pathology that arises due to these mutations may be an initiation of the inflammatory response, a common neurological occurrence in individuals with AD.

Glial cells in the brain, specifically microglia and astrocytes, can serve as mediators of the inflammatory response when necessary, defending the CNS from pathogens and aiding in the recovery from damage and stress (reviewed in Skaper, 2007). AD brains exhibit extensive localized activation of both microglia and astrocytes in response to neuronal and synaptic damage and A $\beta$  accumulation (reviewed in Akiyama et al., 2000). It is likely this inflammation related to AD pathology may be both beneficial as a mechanism to promote neuronal survival and detrimental to AD brain function and the degeneration process (Wyss-Coray & Mucke, 2002). Recent studies have shown that



aggregated A $\beta$  is itself capable of activating the inflammatory response by activating microglia and enhancing the synthesis and release of proinflammatory cytokines (Tan et al., 1999; Combs et al., 2001). These proinflammatory cytokines may also accelerate tau pathology and NFT formation, perhaps linking Aβ-induced inflammation and neurofibrillary pathology (Sheng et al., 2000; Quintanilla et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2006). This finding would suggest that inflammation is actually advancing AD pathology and accelerating the neuronal loss. Although microglial activation in AD may be caused by A $\beta$  pathology, microglial activity correlates more closely with NFT pathology (Hayes et al., 2002). This relationship suggests that although A $\beta$  may trigger the initial activation of microglia, the resulting inflammatory response may be more directly related to tau pathology (Blurton-Jones & LaFerla, 2006). Another study done by Guo et al. (2006) suggests that soluble A $\beta$  and tau may directly interact to promote each other's aggregation. In fact, it has been demonstrated that *in vitro* injection of A $\beta$  activates GSK- $\beta$ , one of the enzymes thought to be responsible for phosphorylating tau (Lovestone et al., 1996). Thus, it is clear there is some relation between A $\beta$  and tau pathology, but more studies are needed to clarify the connection.

Much of the above research eventually led to the amyloid cascade hypothesis which suggests that abnormal A $\beta$  production and accumulation triggers the neurodegeneration seen in AD. Hardy & Higgins (1992) proposed that deposition of A $\beta$ protein is the causative factor in AD pathology and that the NFT, neuronal loss, and dementia that follow are a result of this deposition. They hypothesized that neurotoxic A $\beta$ peptides disrupt calcium homeostasis extracellularly and disrupt calcium concentrations intracellularly. This intra-neuronal increase in calcium concentration could potentially be



what causes tau proteins within the cell to be hyperphosphorylated and form PHF, which are the primary component of NFT. Thus, for this interpretation of the amyloid cascade hypothesis to be correct, elevations in A $\beta$  levels should cause hyperphosphorylation of tau and neurofibrillary pathology to develop. This link between A $\beta$  and tau has been verified to some extent in animal models and in cell culture, wherein A $\beta$  causes an increase in tau phosphorylation (Gotz et al., 2001; Lewis et al., 2001; Zheng et al., 2002). Transgenic mice harboring mutations in both human APP and human Tau, as well as a mutant PS-1 allele, dubbed 3xTg-AD mice, develop both A $\beta$  deposits and NFT-like pathology (Oddo et al., 2003a). Studies using these mice have demonstrated that A $\beta$ accumulation precedes the development of tau pathology by several months, further suggesting A $\beta$  may promote tau phosphorylation and aggregation (Oddo et al., 2003b).

Despite the amount of research supporting the amyloid cascade hypothesis, there are several gaps between the hypothesis and data collected. First, the hypothesis is inconsistent with the presence of senile plaques in normal aged brains similar to those seen in AD (Crystal et al., 1988; Katzman et al., 1988; Price et al., 1991). If A $\beta$  deposits are the catalyst for AD neurodegeneration, then we would not see individuals with no cognitive impairment and diffuse plaque load. Secondly, in transgenic animals that overexpress either APP or one of the PS mutations, there is no significant NFT formation or neurodegeneration despite considerable A $\beta$  plaque load (Hsiao et al., 1996; Takeuchi et al., 2000). Because no AD-like pathology develops in these mice except for the plaques, it is difficult to argue that A $\beta$  is directly causing the significant neuronal loss seen in the disease. Another limitation of the amyloid cascade hypothesis is that neurodegeneration and dementia occur in the absence of A $\beta$  plaques in several diseases



related to the tau protein (Hutton et al., 1998; Spillantini et al., 1998). Regardless of whether or not A $\beta$  peptides are the causative agent in AD, it is likely that they contribute to the cognitive symptoms seen in the disease, as well as have some effect on the pathogenesis in AD. Another pathogenic contributor to the neurodegeneration observed in AD is the tau protein which is hyperphosphorylated resulting in NFTs and neuronal loss.

#### Tau Hypothesis

Tau is an intracellular protein found abundantly in the central and peripheral nervous systems and is critical for microtubule stability and assembly, as well as microtubule flexibility (Goedert et al., 1989; Goedert et al., 1992). Microtubules are located throughout the neuron and in the axon and are essential for neurotransmission, axonal transport and axonal support (Paulson & McClure, 1974; Weingarten et al., 1975; Kraemer et al., 2003). Tau is a phosphoprotein which implies that it requires phosphorylation, or the addition of a phosphate group, in order for it to become activated (Butler & Shelanski, 1986). Tau is partially phosphorylated in the normal brain and this phosphorylation may regulate microtubule stability and assembly. This microtubule regulation appears to happen by reducing tau's binding to tubulin, a protein that makes up microtubules, and reducing the promotion of microtubule assembly (Hasegawa, 2004). Thus, the phosphorylation of tau plays a pivotal role in regulating microtubule production by reducing the amount of microtubule assembly and decreasing the ability of tau to bind to tubulin.



In AD, the tau protein is hyperphosphorylated, which leads to the destruction of microtubule assemblies via the aforementioned mechanisms (Grundke-Iqbal et al., 1986). The degradation of microtubules may cause impaired axonal transport and possibly cell death (Kosik et al., 1986). Tau hyperphosphorylation renders tau unable to bind to microtubules, an event proposed to be responsible for self-assembly into the paired helical filaments (PHFs) (Goedert et al., 1988; Bramblett et al., 1993; Yoshida & Ihara, 1993). These PHFs, which are primarily made up of hyperphosphorylated tau, correlate strongly with neuronal death in AD (Gomez-Isla et al., 1997). Also, whereas  $A\beta$  pathology is relatively specific to AD, NFT formation occurs in other diseases/disorders related to tau, collectively referred to as tauopathies.

In 1998, several mutations in the tau gene were discovered in families with frontotemporal dementia and parkinsonism linked to chromosome 17 (FTDP-17), a related but distinct neurodegenerative disease, indicating genetic evidence that tau abnormalities may be sufficient to lead to neurodegeneration (Hong et al., 1998; Hutton et al., 1998; Poorkaj et al., 1998; Spillantini et al., 1998). This discovery led to the production of many different transgenic lines of mice with tau mutations. One specific line, the P301L mice, shows significant age-dependent NFT formation, memory impairment, and neuron loss (Lewis et al., 2000; Ramsden et al., 2005). Although there is significant neurodegeneration in these animals, they do not develop any A $\beta$  pathology, implying that tau mutation or tangle formation is not sufficient on its own to cause senile plaques in an animal model of AD. These findings provide strong evidence that tau may induce neuronal loss in the absence of A $\beta$ , but also suggest that A $\beta$  plaque formation may lie upstream of tau, at least for AD.



Consistent with the findings that A $\beta$  plaque burden does not correlate well with the severity of dementia, but NFT formation does, is the finding that NFTs can be differentiated into neuropathological stages in AD. Braak & Braak (1991) reported detailed pathological studies about the distribution of plaques and tangles in autopsy brains of demented and non-demented individuals. They showed that A $\beta$  deposition was of little significance in relation to neuropathological staging, whereas NFTs exhibited a neuroanatomical distribution pattern permitting the differentiation of six stages of disease progression in AD. Tangles are first observed in the entorhinal cortex where neuronal loss occurs the earliest, and are closely related to the initial memory impairment in AD, whereas A $\beta$  deposits are not found in the hippocampal formation until the late stages of the disease (Hasegawa, 2004). Another post-mortem study showed that both NFTs and neuronal loss increased in parallel with the duration of AD, although the amount of neuronal loss was five or six times larger than the amount of tangle accumulation (Gomez-Isla et al., 1997). In contrast, the authors also found that the amount of plaques and A $\beta$  accumulation were not related to neuronal loss, the number of NFTs, or the duration of the disease.

Despite the recent attention the tau hypothesis has received, it has limitations similar to the A $\beta$  hypothesis. Foremost is the finding that NFTs are extremely common and perhaps universal in the nucleus basalis of Meynert, a basal forebrain region rich in cholinergic fibers, in non-demented aged individuals (Beach et al., 1998). Secondly, because animal models of tauopathies fail to develop A $\beta$  pathology or the global neuronal loss seen in AD, it is difficult to claim that tau aggregation and NFTs are causing the disease. Another possible explanation for the significant neuronal loss and degeneration



seen in AD is related to the cholinergic hypothesis and the loss of cholinergic neurons in areas important for learning and memory.

#### Cholinergic Hypothesis

The cholinergic deficit in AD is the earliest and most frequently reproduced finding, specifically the profound reduction in choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) activity (Davies & Maloney, 1976; Bowen et al., 1982). ChAT is the enzyme responsible for synthesizing acetylcholine (ACh), and its decreased activity leads to reduced amounts of available ACh in the brain, specifically in the hippocampus and neocortex (Perry et al., 1977; Davies, 1979). The finding that cholinergic cell loss is associated with the presence of plaques in non-demented aged individuals suggests that the loss of cholinergic neurons precedes the clinical diagnosis of AD (Beach et al., 1997). Furthermore, studies indicate that the severity of the dementia in AD correlates well with the extent of cholinergic loss and the reduction in ChAT activity in the cortex (Perry et al., 1981). Cholinergic loss occurs first and foremost in the basal forebrain where CBF neurons deteriorate very early in the disease progression (Whitehouse et al., 1982; Bowen et al., 1982; Beach et al., 2000). ACh has been implicated in different cognitive functions such as learning and memory (Whitehouse, 1967; Cox & Tye, 1973; Valentino & Dingledine, 1981; Spencer & Lal, 1983; Spencer et al., 1985; Woolf, 1996). Once ACh is released, it can bind to either of two receptor subtypes: nicotinic acetylcholine receptors or muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (Role & Berg, 1996; reviewed in Wevers & Schroder, 1999 and Ishii & Kurachi, 2003).



Nicotinic receptors are ionotropic receptors (receptors that can open ion channels when ACh binds to them) while muscarinic receptors are metabotropic receptors (receptors that activate a G-protein and trigger intracellular events when ACh binds, including the opening of multiple ion channels). There appears to be a selective loss of ACh receptors in the cortex and hippocampus in AD, and it seems to be more pronounced for nicotinic receptors (Flynn & Mash, 1986; Perry et al., 1995; Wevers et al., 2000).

Nicotinic receptor activation using nicotine produces a significant increase in the amount of phosphorylated tau both *in vitro* and in 3xTg-AD mice (Hellstrom-Lindahl et al., 2000; Oddo et al., 2005). The exact mechanism of how this increase in phosphorylation occurs remains unclear, but it may be a result of increased calcium levels due to overactivation of the nicotinic receptors. Nicotonic receptors are one of only a few ionotropic receptors in the brain that allow an influx of calcium ions when ACh binds (McGehee et al., 1995; Role & Berg, 1996). This increase in intracellular calcium may activate different calcium-dependent kinases, such as GSK3<sup>β</sup> or p38MAP kinase, which may be responsible for phosphorylating tau (Oddo et al., 2005). Nicotinic receptor activation also appears to have an effect on A $\beta$  deposits in the brain. In vitro studies have shown that nicotine seems to inhibit A $\beta$  fibril formation and also disrupts already formed fibrils (Salomon et al., 1996; Zeng et al., 2001; Ono et al., 2002). This would suggest that in the absence of ACh, the formation of fibrils is allowed to progress at a more rapid rate. Studies using transgenic mice, specifically mice that overexpress human APP called Tg2576, showed a dramatic decrease both in A $\beta$  plaque burden and the levels of insoluble A $\beta$ 40 and A $\beta$ 42 after chronic administration of nicotine for a period of five and a half months (Nordberg et al., 2002). It is difficult to determine what effect the loss of



nicotinic receptors has on tau phosphorylation and  $A\beta$  deposits in AD, and more studies are necessary to elucidate the relationships.

Muscarinic receptors, specifically the M1 receptor subtype, are highly expressed in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus, and seem to be particularly relevant to memory function in AD (Anagnostaras et al., 2003). The M1 receptor has been shown to decrease tau phosphorylation suggesting that decreased cholinergic activity may lead to destabilization of the microtubule network and eventual tangle formation. In vitro studies using cholinergic M1 agonists showed that the muscarinic-activated decrease of tau phosphorylation was both time and dose dependent (Sadot et al., 1996). In vivo studies using 3xTg-AD mice also showed a reduction in tau phosphorylation after administration of a muscarinic agonist and conversely showed increased tau phosphorylation after treatment with an M1 antagonist (Caccamo et al., 2006). Previous in vitro findings found that M1 receptor agonists decrease tau phosphorylation by the reduction of GSK3 $\beta$ activity (Forlenza et al., 2000), which appears to be the mechanism by which tau phosphorylation was decreased in the 3xTg-AD mice. Muscarinic receptor activation also appears to reduce A $\beta$  production and increase the secretion of soluble APP (Buxbaum et al., 1992). In the 3xTg-AD mice, an M1 agonist reduced A $\beta$  deposition in the hippocampus and cortex, and ameliorated cognitive deficits in a spatial memory task (Caccamo et al., 2006). This finding that muscarinic activation regulates APP processing (Nitsch et al., 1992) formed the basis for the hypothesis that AChE inhibitors may slow disease progression by reducing A $\beta$  production (Inestrosa et al., 1996).

AChE inhibitors were developed as a result of the cholinergic hypothesis in order to increase cholinergic tone in individuals with AD (Davis et al., 1978; Bartus, 1979;



Bartus et al., 1982). AChE is primarily responsible for the breakdown of ACh into choline and acetic acid. AChE has also been implicated in A $\beta$  plaque formation and appears to have the ability to accelerate A $\beta$  formation and deposition in AD (Inestrosa et al., 1996). Therefore, by inhibiting the enzymatic activity of AChE, it may be possible to reduce A $\beta$  plaque formation and ameliorate AD symptomology. AChE inhibitors increase the amount of ACh available in the synapse as well as enhance and prolong its action on ACh receptors (Harvey & Rowan, 1990). AChE inhibitors have been approved for use in mild to moderate AD and have been shown to improve cognitive deficits (Rogers et al., 1998; Rosler et al., 1999; Tariot et al., 2000). One of the most widely prescribed and used AChE inhibitors is donepezil.

Donepezil hydrochloride is a piperidine-based, non-competitive, reversible inhibitor of AChE with high central nervous system specificity and a long duration of action (Yamanishi et al., 1991). It has been demonstrated to be a well-tolerated drug that improves cognitive performance and global function in mild to moderate AD patients (Rogers et al., 1996; Rogers et al., 1998). Donepezil significantly increases extracellular ACh concentrations both dose- and time-dependently (Kosasa et al., 1999). Furthermore, donepezil was able to significantly improve a deficit in spatial learning and memory induced in rats with lesions of the medial septum, a pathway by which cholinergic neurons project to the hippocampus, at a relatively low dose of 0.5 mg/kg. The rescue of the spatial deficit was almost to the level of the control animals in a Morris water maze (MWM) spatial learning task (Ogura et al., 2000). Scopolamine, a cholinergic antagonist, has been shown to produce learning impairments in rodents (Ogren et al., 1998; Elvander et al., 2004). Donepezil, once again at a low dose of 0.5 mg/kg, was able to significantly



decrease the scopolamine-induced deficit in rats in an 8-arm radial arm maze, a spatial learning and memory task (Ogura et al., 2000).

Many animal models of AD show Aβ pathology and a few even show tau pathology, but thus far none have been able to replicate the global neuronal loss exhibited in AD. Nevertheless, administration of donepezil to different AD models provides valuable insight into the cellular and molecular effects of the drug, as well as examines the effect it has on behavioral deficits. One such animal model involves lesions of the entorhinal cortex, a part of the brain which provides inputs to the hippocampus, using ibotenic acid, a compound that produces non-specific excitotoxic lesions. Although the lesion does not model the specific cholinergic loss which is a hallmark of AD, it is a valuable tool to study hippocampal learning and memory deficits. One study administered donepezil following an ibotenic acid lesion and found that donepezil was able to partially rescue the lesion-induced deficit in the MWM (Spowart-Manning & van der Staay, 2005).

Further animal models are the Tg2576 mice and APP23 mice that overexpress human APP containing the Swedish double mutation and display age-related cognitive deficits and plaque load (Hsiao et al., 1996; Sturchler-Pierrat et al., 1997; Kelly et al., 2003). Briefly, the gene coding for APP is mutated to overexpress the protein and the mice eventually develop A $\beta$  plaques around 6 months of age (Sturchler-Pierrat et al., 1997). A study with the Tg2576 mice showed that donepezil administration dosedependently (0.1, 0.3, or 1.0 mg/kg) reduced the cognitive deficit in a spatial memory task (Dong et al., 2005). Another study investigated the effect of donepezil administration (0.3 mg/kg) in the APP23 transgenic mice and found that it was able to rescue some of



the deficits in the MWM (Van Dam et al., 2005). In a follow-up study using the APP23 mice, Van Dam et al. (2008) found that donepezil may have disease-modifying effects. After chronic donepezil treatment (0.27 mg/kg per day for 8 weeks), animals experienced a 3 week wash-out period where no drug was given in order to determine if treatment was able to improve spatial memory in the MWM after the drug was no longer active. Donepezil significantly improved performance of the APP23 mice both in acquisition and retention of spatial memory in the MWM. This possible disease-modifying effect of donepezil may be due to the relation between AChE and A $\beta$  formation that we previously reviewed. Because AChE has been implicated in amyloid plaque formation (Inestrosa et al., 1996), the inhibition of AChE may lead to reduced A $\beta$  pathology. In fact, donepezil *in vitro* reduced AChE-induced A $\beta$  aggregation by 22% (Bartolini et al., 2003). Further evidence from *in vitro* studies found donepezil, but not other AChE inhibitors, had neuroprotective effects on cell culture models of neuronal injury (Akasofu et al., 2008).

Another possible explanation for donepezil's possible disease-modifying ability is that in addition to its action on AChE, it antagonizes N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors in the brain (Wang et al., 1999; Moriguchi et al., 2005). NMDA receptors are activated by glutamate, an excitatory neurotransmitter, and when NMDA is open it allows calcium ions into the cell. An overabundance of calcium ions can lead to excitotoxicity and neuronal death which is what may be occurring in AD (Lucas & Newhouse, 1957; Mattson et al., 1992). Thus, because donepezil is able to reduce the activity of the NMDA receptor, it also may reduce excitotoxicity. This excitotoxic inhibition may be mediated through nicotinic ACh receptors followed by specific kinases which reduce NMDA receptor activity (Takada-Takatori et al., 2006). Interestingly,



donepezil has also been found to improve cognitive performance in healthy young rats (Cutuli et al., 2008), which suggests donepezil may act as a cognitive enhancing drug in normal animals as well as in animals with cognitive deficits.

Donepezil is clearly able to ameliorate deficits related to AD pathology in early and middle stages of the disease in AD patients and in animal models (Rogers et al., 2000). It increases cholinergic tone and activity, likely compensating for the profound deterioration of CBF neurons in AD. These findings also indicate why in the latter stages of AD donepezil has been found to be less effective, as the overall loss of cholinergic neurons may progress to a level beyond the capacity of an AChE inhibitor to improve. Although it is not yet evident why these CBF neurons begin dying in AD, one possible explanation is related to calcium levels and excitotoxicity.

#### Excitotoxicity Approach

Glutamate is an excitatory neurotransmitter pervasively expressed throughout the central nervous system (Harvey & McIlwain, 1968). It can act on either ionotropic receptors such as AMPA, kainate or NMDA receptors, or metabotropic receptors which are coupled to G-proteins (Ishida & Neyton, 1985; O'Brien & Fischbach, 1986; Cull-Candy & Usowicz, 1987; Jahr & Stevens, 1987). Binding of glutamate to NMDA receptors leads to an influx of calcium and excitation (MacDermott et al., 1986). Because glutamate is a potent excitatory transmitter, excessive amounts or prolonged release can elicit excessive excitation in neurons that may lead to cell death (Lucas & Newhouse, 1957; Olney & Ho, 1970). Chronic exposure to moderately elevated glutamate levels or hyperactivity of glutamate receptors, which is what may be occurring in many



neurodegenerative diseases as well as cerebral ischemia, can lead to a steady influx of calcium which has been shown to be toxic for the cell (reviewed in Mattson & Chan, 2003). This calcium-induced cell death, also called excitotoxicity, has been investigated in AD and may play a role in the progressive degeneration of neurons.

Calcium levels in AD appear to be elevated, potentially resulting in excitotoxicity and cell death (Peterson et al., 1985; Peterson & Goldman, 1986). Calcium levels are increased in neurons that contain NFTs as compared with tangle-free neurons (Murray et al., 1992). The increased levels of calcium may precede tangle formation based on the finding that levels of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CamKII), the most abundant kinase in the brain and dependent on calcium for activation, are increased in hippocampal neurons which are vulnerable to degeneration (McKee et al., 1990). Calcium levels may also be affected by  $A\beta$  peptides. *In vitro* studies show that application of A $\beta$  peptide increases the vulnerability of cortical neurons to glutamate toxicity (Mattson et al., 1992). In vivo, the toxicity caused by A $\beta$  injection into the hippocampus or nucleus basalis of Meynert is reduced by NMDA antagonists, showing that the toxicity is in part related to glutamatergic activity (Harkany et al., 1999; Miguel-Hidalgo et al., 2002). These studies suggest that glutamate receptor activation and exposure to  $A\beta$  peptides together are more injurious than either insult alone (Canzoniero & Snider, 2005). In addition to increasing vulnerability to calcium influx through glutamate receptors, specifically NMDA, A $\beta$  can also increase resting calcium levels both intra- and extracellularly (Mattson et al., 1992). In an attempt to address the excitotoxic damage caused by increased calcium levels and overactivity of glutamate receptors, NMDA-receptor antagonists have been developed.



Memantine is an uncompetitive NMDA antagonist that has been approved for use in moderate to severe cases of AD dementia (Ditzler, 1991; Winblad & Poritis, 1999; Tariot et al., 2004). What makes memantine an uncompetitive antagonist is that it becomes more effective at blocking NMDA receptors the more the receptors become activated. In other words, under normal physiological conditions, the NMDA receptor will not be antagonized by memantine because the drug will remain inactive. When the NMDA receptor is overactivated by glutamate, memantine becomes extremely effective at returning NMDA activity to a normal state. At a fixed concentration of memantine, its ability to block the NMDA receptor increased as the concentration of NMDA increased (Chen et al., 1992). For this reason memantine is usually only administered in later stages of AD when calcium concentrations may be sufficiently elevated and the drug is able to exert its unique uncompetitive NMDA blockade. Another way of possibly reducing the excitotoxicity seen in AD is via the endogenous peptide galanin, which has been shown to exhibit neuroprotective effects.

#### Galanin Literature

Galanin is a 29 amino acid (30 in humans) neuropeptide, first isolated from porcine intestine by Tatemoto et al. (1983). It is widely expressed throughout the mammalian nervous system with galaninergic neurons in areas such as the cerebral cortex, nucleus basalis of Meynert, hippocampus, amygdala, and locus coeruleus (Kordower et al., 1992; Perez et al., 2001). Galanin inhibits several classical neurotransmitters including glutamate, serotonin, norepinephrine, and ACh (Dutar et al., 1989; Pieribone et al., 1995; Robinson et al., 1996; Kinney et al., 1998; Ogren et al.,



1998; Xu et al., 1998). In the basal forebrain of rodents, galanin co-localizes with cholinergic neurons within the medial septum/diagonal band complex (Melander et al., 1985; Melander et al., 1986; Miller et al., 1998). In the human basal forebrain, there exists a small population of non-cholinergic galaninergic interneurons and a dense galaninergic fiber plexus that innervates CBF neurons (Mufson et al., 1993; Bowser et al., 1997). In fact, it was found that galanin immunoreactivity is present in ~50-70% of CBF neurons (Melander et al., 1985). These findings led to the hypothesis that galanin may mediate the cholinergic system related to cognitive function (Mufson et al., 1998). In vitro studies have demonstrated that galanin reduces ACh release and ACh-elicited excitation and also inhibits LTP in hippocampal neurons (Fisone et al., 1987; Dutar et al., 1989; Palazzi et al., 1991; Sakurai et al., 1996). A different in vitro study found that galanin actually has the ability to excite cholinergic neurons, but further studies are needed to clarify this excitatory role for galanin (Jhamandas et al., 2002). In vivo work has shown that galanin administered into the hippocampus, lateral ventricles, or basal forebrain impairs cognitive performance on spatial learning and memory tasks in rats (McDonald et al., 1998; Wrenn & Crawley, 2001; Kinney et al., 2003). Furthermore, mice that overexpress galanin (GalOE) display cognitive deficits characteristic of AD (Steiner et al., 2001). Taken together, these data suggest that galanin may inhibit the basal forebrain and hippocampus to regulate cognitive processes.

Alternatively, galanin also appears to play a neurotrophic or neuroprotective role in the brain (Holmes et al., 2000; O'Meara et al., 2000; Mahoney et al., 2003; Elliot-Hunt et al., 2004; Elliot-Hunt et al., 2007). Following trauma or injury to the central nervous system, galanin expression is up-regulated in many brain regions (Cortes et al., 1990).


Galanin also acts as a survival and growth-promoting factor to neurons in both the central and peripheral nervous systems (Holmes et al., 2000; O'Meara et al., 2000; Mahoney et al., 2003). Zini et al. (1993) found that galanin reduces glutamate release by 50-60% in rat hippocampal slices. Because glutamate is the principle excitatory neurotransmitter in the brain, and it can be responsible for calcium-induced excitotoxicity, the ability of galanin to reduce glutamate release classifies it as a trophic factor. Elliot-Hunt et al. (2004) also found that galarin promotes hippocampal neuron survival in a number of *in vitro* models of excitotoxic injury. Using hippocampal slices from transgenic animals either over-expressing galanin (GalOE) or galanin knockout (GalKO), galanin was shown to exert a protective effect after administration of glutamate or staurosporine, an agent which inhibits protein kinase activity and can lead to apoptosis, or programmed cell death. Galanin has also been shown to protect neurons from the toxic effects of A $\beta$  in *vitro*, further exhibiting its neuroprotective capacity (Ding et al., 2006). Due to this ability to reduce excitotoxic damage and protect from neuronal injury, galanin agonists have significant implications as anti-epileptic agents. In order to further understand galanin and its possible therapeutic effects, we must first examine its receptors which would ultimately be the targets of any drugs.

Galanin receptors are G-protein-coupled metabotropic receptors, which activate second messenger pathways after the binding of a ligand (Hulting et al., 1993; Walli et al., 1994; Branchek et al., 1998). The first galanin receptor (GAL-R1) is a G<sub>i/o</sub> coupled receptor, which belongs to a family of receptors with mostly inhibitory actions. GAL-R1's are found throughout the human brain, particularly in the cortex, hippocampus, thalamus, and amygdala (Habert-Ortoli et al., 1994). Activation of GAL-R1 leads to



inhibition of adenylyl cyclase and cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), both of which are signal transduction mechanisms crucial for learning and memory processes, especially consolidation of memory (Palazzi et al., 1991; Karelson & Langel, 1998; Wang et al., 1998; Iismaa & Shine, 1999; Kinney et al., 2003).

The second galanin receptor subtype (GAL-R2) can be of a G<sub>q</sub> subtype or a G<sub>i/o</sub> subtype, and can be either excitatory or inhibitory (Fathi et al., 1998; Wittau et al., 2000). GAL-R2's are found in areas of the human brain similar to GAL-R1's including the cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, thalamus, and cerebellum (Borowsky et al., 1998; Fathi et al., 1998). A key difference between the two receptor subtypes which may explain their different mechanisms of action is that they are found in different parts of the hippocampus and exert different effects when activated. The ventral hippocampus contains significantly more GAL-R1's than the dorsal hippocampus which contains more GAL-R2's (Melander et al., 1985; Melander et al., 1986; Fisone et al., 1987; O'Donnell et al., 1999). Accordingly, when galanin is injected directly into the ventral hippocampus where there is higher GAL-R1 expression, learning and memory was impaired in the Morris water task. Conversely, when galanin is injected into the dorsal hippocampus, no learning deficits were detected (Ogren et al., 1999). GAL-R2 activation appears to increase the levels of inositol phosphates and intracellular calcium in cell cultures, leading to increased LTP and synaptic plasticity (Fathi et al., 1998; Kolakowski et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1998; Wittau et al., 2000). Thus, GAL-R2's appear to activate phospholipase C and protein kinase C, initiating a signal transduction pathway which promotes neurotransmission and facilitates learning and memory. Furthermore, activation



of the GAL-R2 protects the hippocampus from neuronal damage, implicating this receptor subtype in the trophic role galanin plays (Elliot-Hunt et al., 2007).

The third galanin receptor subtype (GAL-R3) appears to be similar to GAL-R1 in functionality, coupling to the G<sub>i</sub> protein, however the prevalence of GAL-R3 within the CNS is much less extensive and considerably more studies are needed on this receptor (Kolakowski et al., 1998; Smith et al., 1998). Thus, depending on which galanin receptor is activated, the effect may be inhibitory or excitatory; a distinction necessary to make in order to understand what is occurring in AD. In AD, the overexpression of galanin may be inhibitory, further exacerbating cognitive deficits, or it may be neuroprotective, attempting to save CBF neurons from any further damage.

AD patients exhibit characteristic memory loss and learning deficits, especially in later stages of the disease. When galanin is centrally administered to rodents, it causes learning and memory deficits, specifically deficits in the consolidation of memory (Kinney et al., 2003). Because of this finding and others like it, it can be said that it is possible that the overexpression of galanin seen in AD is contributing to the cognitive impairments exhibited in the disease. The mechanism by which galanin may contribute to the cognitive impairment has not been clarified, although many findings suggest it is through interactions with the cholinergic system (Fisone et al., 1987; Chan-Palay, 1988; Dutar et al., 1989; Mufson et al., 1993).

The loss of CBF neurons in AD is accompanied by an increase in the activity of the surviving cholinergic neurons (McDonald & Crawley, 1997). Because of galanin's role as an inhibitory neuromodulator, this increase in cholinergic activity may increase galaninergic activity, thus inhibiting the remaining cholinergic neurons (Fisone et al.,



1987; Chan-Palay, 1988; Chan-Palay, 1990; Mufson et al., 1993; Bowser et al., 1997). The inhibition of surviving cholinergic neurons may then lead to the learning and memory problems characteristic of late-stage AD, because of the aforementioned importance of acetylcholine in learning and attentional processes. This theory is supported by findings that galanin expression progressively increases in the basal forebrain in AD (Mufson et al., 2000). Galaninergic fibers form a dense plexus surrounding surviving CBF neurons, reaching concentrations twice that of age-matched controls (Beal et al., 1990). Although there is evidence of increased galaninergic activity early in the disease progression (Perez et al., 2002), it appears that galanin hyperinnervation of CBF neurons only occurs in the late stage of the disease (Chan-Palay, 1988; Mufson et al., 1993; Bowser et al., 1997; Mufson et al., 2000; Counts et al., 2006). These findings are consistent with the notion that galanin overexpression is triggered by neuronal damage, suggesting galanin hyperinnervation of CBF neurons represents a neuronal survival mechanism (Gabriel et al., 1995; Hartonian et al., 2002; Shen et al., 2003).

In a recent study examining tissue from AD brains, it was found that CBF neurons that are hyperinnervated by galanin show a significant increase in choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) expression as compared to CBF neurons that have no GAL hyperinnervation (Counts et al., 2008). The increase in ChAT due to galaninergic hyperinnervation observed in this study suggests a neuroprotective role for galanin in AD. In a study using saporin to produce a cholinergic-specific lesion in the basal forebrain of rats, it was found that galanin immunoreactivity within and adjacent to the lesion was significantly increased as compared to control animals, an effect that persisted



long after the treatment (Hartonian et al., 2002). Thus, damage to cholinergic neurons would appear to be sufficient to increase galanin expression long-term as a trophic response to the damage. However, in Down's syndrome which also produces extensive CBF degeneration, galanin does not hyperinnervate surviving cholinergic neurons (Mufson et al., 1993; Sendera et al., 2000). These findings suggest that CBF neuron loss alone is insufficient to trigger the galanin plasticity response seen in AD. Therefore, it may be that galanin is overexpressed in AD due to other factors such as the Aβ plaques or the NFT or the excitotoxicity. Whether galanin is protecting or inhibiting CBF neurons in AD is still unknown, but it is clear the neuropeptide needs to be studied further in order to fully understand its role in this neurodegenerative disease.

## Hypothesis and Implications

Many theories have been proposed to explain the neurodegeneration which occurs in AD, but none so far have been able to account for the massive neuronal loss and progressive cognitive decline seen in the disease. Galanin may provide a critical link in the causal chain of AD, and thus the current study has potential implications for future Alzheimer's research. The effects of the co-administration of donepezil and galanin should provide valuable insight into AD pathology and further supplement the literature related to ACh and galanin.

In a series of experiments we attempted to determine if galanin exerts its effects on learning and memory via modulation of cholinergic tone in an intact nervous system, as well as to examine its effects in an animal model of AD when co-administered with a drug that is used to treat the disorder. In experiment 1, we investigated the effects of



galanin when co-administered with donepezil in a spatial learning task, the Morris water maze, in an effort to clarify the mechanism by which galanin impairs learning and memory. If the galanin-induced deficit is altered by the addition of donepezil, it is likely galanin is impairing learning and memory in part via inhibition of cholinergic mechanisms. However, if donepezil has no effect on the galanin-induced deficit, then the deficit is likely unrelated to cholinergic alterations. Recent data from our lab indicate a drastic reduction in CREB (cAMP-response element binding protein) phosphorylation, a critical event for the induction of LTP and learning and memory (Comb et al., 1986; Dash et al., 1990; Bartsch et al., 1998), following galanin administration (Kinney et al., 2009). In addition, these changes have been linked to the learning impairments observed following exogenous galanin administration (Kinney et al., 2003). Therefore, we hypothesized that donepezil would be unable to rescue the galanin-induced deficit, and that galanin's inhibition of CREB phosphorylation may be principally responsible for the learning deficit.

There is a paucity of evidence on the role galanin may play following a cholinergic lesion with regard to both the survivability of cholinergic neurons and learning and memory. In experiment 2, we examined the effects of donepezil and galanin following the central administration of a cholinergic-specific neurotoxin, AF64A, designed to mimic an early or even preclinical stage of AD. While previous investigations have been carried out on either the effectiveness of AChEIs following a cholinergic lesion or the potential role of galanin in AD, there have been no studies examining both galanin and AChEIs in a model consistent with AD. Whether or not galanin functions in a neuroprotective capacity following a cholinergic lesion as well as



how it affects learning following damage are key concepts that have yet to be addressed. In addition, we sought to determine what effect the co-administration of donepezil and galanin would have in a compromised cholinergic system. We hypothesized that donepezil would rescue any lesion-induced learning and memory deficits by increasing the amount of available ACh and restoring the functioning of the cholinergic system to a physiologically normative level. We further hypothesized that although galanin may not rescue the lesion-induced impairment, it may potentially reduce the degree of cell loss in these animals if it does act as a trophic factor *in vivo*. Finally, we expected that coadministration of donepezil and galanin would lead to a partial rescue of the learning and memory deficits caused by AF64A. However, we hypothesized that the inhibitory effects of galanin would not allow a complete reversal of the lesion-induced deficits.



#### CHAPTER 3

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Subjects

Ninety adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (forty for Experiment 1 and fifty for Experiment 2) approximately three months of age and weighing between 250 and 350 g were used. Rats were maintained in a temperature and humidity ( $22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C) controlled facility, with food and water available ad libitum, on a 12:12 light/dark cycle, lights on at 7:00 a.m. Animals were housed in pairs until the time of the surgery, after which they were individually housed. All procedures were approved by the University of Nevada, Las Vegas Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and carried out in accordance with NIH guidelines for the appropriate care and use of animals.

## Surgery

Surgeries were performed as described previously by Kinney et al. (2003). Briefly, all animals underwent stereotaxic surgery under aseptic conditions and ketamine (66 mg/kg; Henry Schein Inc, Sparks, NV) and dexmedetomidine (0.2 mg/kg; Henry Schein Inc, Sparks, NV) anesthesia. A guide cannula 1.4 cm in length, 24-gauge stainless steel hypodermic tubing (Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) was implanted into the right lateral ventricle at coordinates 0.5 mm posterior, 1.2 mm lateral to bregma, and 3.5 mm ventral to the surface of the skull (Paxinos & Watson, 1986). The cannula was secured to the skull using stainless steel screws and dental acrylic. A 31-gauge stylet was inserted to close the guide cannula. Rats were administered an analgesic (Buprenorphine, 0.05



mg/kg; Henry Schein Inc, Sparks, NV) immediately following surgery as well for two days post-surgery to minimize post-operative pain.

#### **Drug Treatments**

Rat galanin 1-29 (Bachem Americas, Inc. Torrance, CA) was dissolved in 0.9% physiological saline vehicle at a concentration of 3 nmol/3  $\mu$ l. Donepezil hydrochloride (Tecoland Corporation, Edison, NJ) was dissolved in 0.9% physiological saline to a concentration of 0.3 mg/kg. AF64A was dissolved in NaOh to a concentration of 0.34 mg/ml and pH was adjusted to between 7.0 and 7.4. The toxin was administered into the right lateral ventricle, 2  $\mu$ l total, over the course of one minute with the injector being left in for an additional minute to ensure complete distribution of the solution. Subjects were randomly assigned to different treatment groups, each of which consisted of a daily pre-treatment administered intra-peritoneally (i.p. 1 ml/kg) and a daily treatment administered intracerebroventricularly (i.c.v. 3  $\mu$ l infused). The pre-treatment was given 20 minutes prior to behavioral testing and the treatment was given 5 minutes before testing. Saline was administered as a control for i.p. pre-treatments and artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) was administered as a control for i.c.v. treatments.

For the first experiment, a control group received a saline pre-treatment and a treatment of ACSF. The remaining three groups received daily administrations of either a donepezil pre-treatment and a treatment of ACSF, a saline pre-treatment and a treatment of galanin, or a pre-treatment of donepezil and a treatment of galanin. In Experiment 2, four of the five groups received a one-time infusion of AF64A an average of four days before behavioral testing began. A control group received a sham-lesion, using ACSF



instead of the toxin, and a pre-treatment of saline followed by treatment with ACSF. The remaining toxin-infused groups received daily administrations of either a pre-treatment of saline and a treatment of ACSF, pre-treatment of donepezil and a treatment of ACSF, pre-treatment of galanin, or pre-treatment of donepezil and a treatment of galanin. Table 1 outlines the drug administrations for each group in experiments 1 and 2.

## Table 1

# **Experiment 1**

Pre-treatment	Treatment
Saline	ACSF
Donepezil	ACSF
Saline	Galanin
Donepezil	Galanin

# **Experiment 2**

Before Testing	Pre-treatment	Treatment
01 1	0.1	
Sham lesion	Saline	ACSF
Logian	Calina	
Lesion	Sanne	ACSF
Lesion	Donanazil	ACSE
Lesion	Donepezh	ACSI
Lesion	Saline	Galanin
Lesion	Donepezil	Galanin



#### Morris Water Task

The Morris water task was conducted in a circular tank, 1.8 m in diameter and 76 cm in height, made of white polyethylene 4.7 mm in thickness (San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA). Tap water, 48 cm deep, was maintained at a temperature of 25°C and made opaque by the addition of white non-toxic paint (Fresco Tempera Paint, Rich Art Color Company, Northvale, NJ), and changed every other day. The escape platform, a square platform 10 cm in diameter made of clear plastic, was placed in the center of one of the four quadrants (target quadrant), 30 cm from the inside wall of the maze and 1.5 cm below the surface of the water. For visible platform training, a large black and white cover was attached to the top of the platform and protruded 2 cm above the water.

Trials were recorded and captured using a video tracking system (Smart, San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA) recorded from a Sony Handycam camera connected to a Cobalt Instruments computer. Data collected for each trial consisted of a track of the animal which included the latency to locate the platform, speed of swimming, and thigmotaxis. On the probe trial the tracking system also recorded the amount of time subjects spent in each of the four quadrants of the maze, as well as the number of times the animal's path crossed over the previous platform location and its analogous location in each quadrant.

#### **Behavioral Testing**

Animals received AF64A toxin infusions an average of four days before testing began in order to alter the cholinergic system consistent with early stages of AD. Daily



injections of donepezil or saline were administered 20 minutes prior to start of testing, while galanin or ACSF was centrally infused 5 minutes before testing began. Subjects were then taken individually from the colony room to a dedicated testing room containing the water maze, a computer desk, a table with the heating cage, and large geometric shapes positioned on each of the four walls, all serving as distal spatial cues. The rat was placed into the maze at one of three randomized locations, in the center of a quadrant that did not contain the escape platform (non-target quadrant). The rat was allowed to swim in the maze until it reached the hidden platform and placed its forepaws on the platform. If after 60 seconds the animal did not locate the hidden platform, it was guided to the platform by the experimenter. The rat was given 20 seconds on the platform to orient to distal spatial cues and was then placed under a heat lamp for a total of 30 seconds between trials. Three additional trials were conducted in an identical fashion, for a total of four training trials per day. Following the fourth trial, the animal was dried and then returned to its home cage. The training trials for the hidden platform were conducted until control subjects reached a latency criterion of less than 20 seconds for experiment 1 and less than 13 seconds for experiment 2. A more stringent criterion was set for experiment 2 in order to ensure lesion animals had sufficient opportunity to learn the task. A probe trial was conducted five hours following achievement of this criterion. For probe trials, the rat was placed in the maze in the same fashion as during training, but the escape platform was absent. The single probe trial was 60 seconds in duration, after which the rat was dried and returned to its home cage.

The day after completion of the probe trial, a visible platform training protocol was used. A visible platform that extends above the surface of the water (intra-maze cue)



was placed into the maze instead of the hidden platform. Five trials were conducted for each animal in the same fashion as during the hidden platform training, with the exception that the platform location was changed on each trial. Visible platform training was conducted in order to detect any deficits in visual ability and motor function. Thirty minutes following completion of the last visible training trial, animals were humanely euthanized.

## **Tissue Collection**

Animals were humanely euthanized via carbon dioxide asphyxiation and half were transcardially perfused while the other half were immediately decapitated for rapid removal of the brain. Transcardiac perfusions involved perfusing saline through the vascular system via the left ventricle followed by perfusion of 8% paraformaldehyde to fix the tissue. Brains were then removed and placed in 8% paraformaldehyde at 4° C for 48 hours followed by 24 hours in a 30% sucrose solution in PBS (phosphate-buffered saline). Finally, brains were placed in 5% sucrose in PBS until immunohistochemistry experiments. For rats that did not receive transcardiac perfusions, brains were quickly removed and cortex, hippocampi, and cerebellum were dissected out and flash frozen in dry ice. The dissected tissue was stored at -80° C until western blotting experiments.

#### SDS-PAGE (Western Blots)

A total of twenty-four animals were randomly selected for the SDS-PAGE experiments which were only conducted with subjects from experiment 2. Tissue was homogenized in a non-denaturing lysis buffer consisting of 1X RIPA buffer (Cell



Signaling; 20 mM Tris-HCL pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCL, 1 mM Na<sub>2</sub> EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM  $\beta$ -glycerophosphate, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, and 1 µg/ml leupeptin), 1 mM DTT, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 20 µg/ml aprotinin and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). Lysates were centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C, the supernatant was collected, and a protein assay to determine concentration was performed using the biciconinic acid method (BCA, Pierce, Rockford, IL). Samples (20 µg) were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gels according to the method of Laemmli (1970). Proteins were then electro-transferred to nitropure 45 micron nitrocellulose membranes which were blocked in 5% milk in Tris-buffered saline 0.05% Tween (TBST) and sodium azide overnight.

Individual membranes were incubated with rabbit anti-VAChT (vesicular ACh transporter) antibody (1:750; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or rabbit anti-β-actin antibody as control (1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in TBST-5% milk plus sodium azide for 2 hours at room temperature. Detection of specific binding was performed by incubation with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5000, Vector) for 1 hour at room temperature. Specific signals were detected with Super Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and images were then scanned for densitometry, using BioSpectrum Imaging System (UVP, Upland, CA) and average intensity was obtained for each sample using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).



#### Immunohistochemistry

Twenty-three animals, all from experiment 2, were dedicated to immunohistochemistry experiments. Whole brains were sectioned at a thickness of 20µm on a cryostat and sections were stored at  $4^{\circ}$  C in PBS until the immunohistochemistry experiments. In order to verify correct cannula placement, sections were examined to see if cannulae terminated in the lateral ventricles. Animals whose cannulae did not terminate in the lateral ventricle were removed from the analyses. Sections were placed in plastic wells and remained free floating until the completion of the immunohistochemistry procedure. Sections were initially blocked for 45 minutes in a blocking solution containing 5% normal goat serum (NGS), 0.05% Tween, and PBS. Sections were then incubated overnight at room temperature in primary antibody solution containing 5% NGS, 0.3% Tween, 0.05% sodium azide, and primary polyclonal antibody raised in rabbit directed against the VAChT (1:750 dilution). All wells were then rinsed five times for five minutes each in an excess of wash solution (PBS, 0.2% NGS, and 0.05% Tween) with gentle rotation. Following the washes, sections underwent a procedure for labeling with 3, 3' diaminobenzidine (DAB). Sections were incubated for 45 minutes in a biotinylated secondary antibody solution containing 5% NGS, PBS, and biotinylated secondary antibody (1:500 dilution; Elite Vectastain ABC kit, Burlingame, CA). Following five washes, sections were washed for 15 minutes in a hydrogen peroxide solution (3% hydrogen peroxide, 1% sodium azide, and wash solution) to eliminate nonspecific binding and then washed three more times. Sections were then incubated for 45 minutes with ABC reaction in order to amplify the signal (Elite Vectastain ABC kit, Burlingame, CA) followed by another five washes. Finally, syringe-filtered DAB was



placed into the wells while the sections were free-floating for four minutes and then washed away using wash solution. Sections were then mounted onto slides for imaging under the microscope.

## Cell Counting

To determine the extent of cholinergic neuron loss in subjects from all groups administered the AF64A or sham lesion, slides were examined at an objective of 10X or 20X using a Zeiss Axioskop II Plus microscope (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Inc, Thornwood, NY). Cell counts of VAChT-positive neurons within the basal forebrain and hippocampus were performed in a consistent manner across sections and groups. A minimum of six sections per animal with three to four animals per group was utilized to determine relative cholinergic abundance expressed as a percent of the sham controls.

#### Statistical Analysis

Hidden platform training data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical software package by a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). Visible platform training and probe trial data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Western Blot densitometry and cell count data were also analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Tukey post-hoc comparisons of treatment groups were performed following a significant ANOVA.



#### **CHAPTER 4**

## RESULTS

### Experiment 1

## Morris Water Maze

An analysis of each group's latency to reach the hidden platform in the Morris water maze revealed no significant differences among the groups ( $F_{3,124} = 0.208$ , p=.891; see figure 1A). Similarly, there were no significant differences among the groups in latency to find the visible platform ( $F_{3,131} = 1.197$ , p=0.314; see figure 1A). Speed of swimming was analyzed to investigate any differences in motoric ability among the groups. No significant differences were found among the groups with regard to swim speed during hidden training ( $F_{3,124} = 0.975$ , p=.407; see figure 1B) or visible training ( $F_{3,131} = 1.731$ , p=0.164; see figure 1B). Thigmotaxis, a measurement of how much time subjects spent around the outer perimeter of the maze, is typically used as a measure of anxiety. During hidden platform training, no significant differences were observed among the groups in thigmotaxis ( $F_{3,124} = 0.173$ , p=.915; see figure 1C). However, during visible platform training, significant differences were observed in thigmotaxis ( $F_{3,131} = 3.114$ , p<.05; Tukey post-hocs revealed that the donepezil-galanin group had significantly higher thigmotaxis than the saline-ACSF group, p<.05; see figure 1C).

Following hidden platform training, the platform was removed and a probe trial was conducted to assess spatial learning (see figure 1D). A lack of a significant probe trial indicates a group did not learn the spatial location of the platform. Subjects in the saline-ACSF group spent significantly more time in the target quadrant versus each of the three non-target quadrants indicating a selective preference for the target location ( $F_{3,32}$  =



27.912, p<.01; Tukey post-hoc comparisons of target quadrant versus all non-target quadrants, p<.01). Rats administered donepezil and ACSF also displayed a significant search ( $F_{3,24} = 11.834$ , p<.01; Tukey post-hoc comparisons of target versus all non-target quadrants, p<.01). Animals that received saline and galanin did not show a selective search as not all non-target quadrants were significant versus the target ( $F_{3,28} = 5.759$ , p<.01; Tukey post-hocs revealed target was only significant versus quadrant 1, p<.01, and non-significant versus quadrants 2 and 3, p>.05) which is consistent with previous studies with galanin. Interestingly, in the group given donepezil and galanin, rats did not show a preference for the target quadrant ( $F_{3,28} = 2.350$ , p=.094).

#### Experiment 2

## Morris Water Maze

Administration of the cholinergic neurotoxin alone or in combination with galanin and/or donepezil did not affect the animals' latencies to find the hidden platform in the Morris water maze as is evidenced by the observation of no significant differences among the groups ( $F_{4,167} = 0.555$ , p=.696; see figure 2A). Interestingly, during visible platform training there was a significant difference in latency to reach the platform ( $F_{4,157} = 2.769$ , p<.05; Tukey post-hocs revealed that the lesion plus donepezil and galanin led to a significantly higher latency to reach the visible platform as compared to sham controls, p<.05; see figure 2A). No swim speed differences were observed in either hidden training ( $F_{4,167} = 2.181$ , p=.073) or visible platform training ( $F_{4,157} = 0.521$ , p=.721) among the groups (see figure 2B). When thigmotaxis was analyzed, a significant difference was found among the groups during hidden platform training ( $F_{4,167} = 2.760$ , p<.05; Tukey post-hocs showed the lesion plus donepezil and galanin produced significantly higher





**Figure 1.** Water maze performance in experiment 1 following donepezil and/or galanin administration. (A) Latency for hidden and visible platform training. No significant differences were found among treatments during either condition (p>.05). (B) No swim speed differences were detected among groups in either hidden or visible platform training (p>.05). (C) An examination of time spent around the outer perimeter of the maze revealed a significant difference in thigmotaxis during visible platform training in the donepezil-galanin group (p<.05) versus controls but no differences during hidden training. (D) Probe trial data show that only saline controls (p<.01) and donepezil-administered animals (p<.01) spent significantly more time in the target quadrant versus each non-target quadrant indicative of a selective search. Groups administered galanin or donepezil and galanin did not display a selective search (p>.05).



thigmotaxis as compared to the sham controls, p<.05; see figure 2C). Similarly, during visible platform training significant differences were found among treatments ( $F_{4,157}$  = 3.603, p<.01; Tukey post-hocs showed no significant differences compared to sham controls; however, the lesion plus donepezil and galanin produced significantly higher thigmotaxis as compared to the lesion group, the lesion plus donepezil, and the lesion plus galanin, ps<.05; see figure 2C).

Figure 2D depicts probe trial performance among the groups administered either AF64A or a sham lesion. Sham controls demonstrated a selective search as is evidenced by their significant probe trial ( $F_{3,32} = 59.444$ , p<.01; Tukey post-hoc comparisons of target versus all non-target quadrants, p<.01). Animals administered the lesion alone also showed a selective probe trial ( $F_{3,32} = 13.231$ , p<.01; Tukey post-hoc comparisons of target versus all non-target quadrants, p<.01). Surprisingly, rats infused with galanin following the lesion demonstrated a significant search as well ( $F_{3,28} = 38.027$ , p<.01; Tukey post-hoc comparisons of target versus all non-target versus all non-target quadrants, p<.01). Equally surprising was that the lesion plus donepezil and galanin group also exhibited a significant search on the probe trial ( $F_{3,32} = 44.361$ , p<.01; Tukey post-hoc comparisons of target versus non-target quadrants, p<.01). Interestingly, the group administered donepezil and ACSF following the lesion did not display a selective search during the probe trial ( $F_{3,28} = 5.345$ , p<.01; Tukey post-hocs revealed target was only significant versus quadrant 3, p<.01, and non-significant versus quadrants 1 and 2, p>.05).





**Figure 2.** Water maze performance in experiment 2 following a lesion and combinations of donepezil and galanin. (A) No differences were observed during hidden platform training although the lesion plus donepezil and galanin group displayed a significantly increased latency to find the visible platform (p<.05) versus sham controls. (B) No significant differences in swim speed were observed in either hidden or visible platform training (p>.05). (C) A significantly increased thigmotaxis was observed in the lesion plus donepezil and galanin group during hidden platform training (p<.05) versus sham controls and during visible platform training (p<.05) versus lesion, lesion plus donepezil, and lesion plus galanin groups but not sham controls. (D) Probe trial data indicate that sham controls (p<.01), lesion (p<.01), lesion plus galanin (p<.01), and lesion plus donepezil and galanin (p<.01) groups all spent significantly more time in the target quadrant versus each non-target quadrant. However, animals administered the lesion plus donepezil did not display a selective search indicating they did not learn the location of the platform (analysis of target versus non-target quadrants, p>.05).



#### Western Blotting

Western Blots were analyzed for image intensity and statistics were performed to examine differences among treatments. Representative blots of frontal cortex are shown in figure 3A. While there are indications of differences among groups, no significant differences among treatments were observed in protein levels of VAChT in the cortex  $(F_{4,31} = 1.637, p=.190; \text{ see figure 3A})$ . A representative blot for the hippocampus is shown in figure 3B. Similar to cortex samples, although differences exist among treatments, no significant differences were found among groups in the hippocampus  $(F_{4,35} = 1.435, p=.243; \text{ see figure 3B})$ .

## Cell Counts

Cell counts performed under light microscopy of DAB-stained tissue were done in the left and right basal forebrain region and left and right hippocampi to assess the number of cholinergic neurons. A significant difference was observed among the groups in the total number of VAChT-positive cells counted in the left and right basal forebrain  $(F_{4,203} = 3.464, p<.01; Tukey post-hocs revealed that the lesion plus galanin group had$ significantly fewer cholinergic-positive neurons in the basal forebrain as compared tosham controls, p<.05; see figure 4D). The lesion alone group displayed a non-significanttrend toward a loss of cholinergic cells as compared to sham controls, however it did notreach significance, p=.095. Representative images of basal forebrain for sham controls,lesion, and lesion plus galanin groups are depicted in figure 4 (A, B, and C, respectively).Images for lesion plus donepezil and lesion plus donepezil and galanin groups are notshown due to the lack of significant differences between these groups and controls. Cell



counts performed in the hippocampus revealed no significant differences among treatments ( $F_{4.74} = 0.613$ , p=.655; data not shown).



**Figure 3.** Western Blot analyses. (A) Representative blots of samples from the frontal cortex along with the relative VAChT protein levels in each group compared to sham controls. Analyses of Western Blot densitometry revealed no significant differences among the groups (p>.05). (B) Representative blots of hippocampal samples along with the relative protein levels of VAChT compared to sham controls. No significant differences were observed among the groups (p>.05). S: sham controls; L: lesion; L + D: lesion + donepezil; L + G: lesion + galanin; L + D + G: lesion + donepezil + galanin.





**Figure 4.** Immunohistochemical cell counts within DAB-stained basal forebrain sections. (A) Representative image of a sham control brain. (B) Representative image of a lesion animal. (C) Representative image of a rat from the lesion plus galanin group. (D) An analysis of cell counts performed within the basal forebrain revealed that the lesion plus galanin group had significantly fewer VAChT-positive cells than sham controls (p<.01). All images were obtained at a 10X magnification.



#### CHAPTER 5

## SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

## **Discussion of Results**

In the above studies we investigated the interaction of galanin and the cholinergic system with particular relevance to AD. Here we present the first behavioral evidence that the galanin-induced learning and memory impairment is likely not related to galanin modulating cholinergic signaling. Experiment 1 demonstrated that the previously observed deficit in spatial learning and memory due to galanin was not rescued or even modified by the administration of the AChE inhibitor donepezil. Several previous investigations have made a case for the impairments produced by galanin being driven by altering cholinergic tone (Melander et al., 1985; Fisone et al., 1987; Dutar et al., 1989; Mufson et al., 1998). This connection has also been used extensively to support the models of galanin overexpression in AD. If the galanin-induced alteration of cholinergic tone produced by donepezil should have altered and/or rescued the galanin-induced impairment.

In a recent study investigating the mechanisms responsible for the galanin learning deficit, it was found that galanin significantly reduced CREB phosphorylation (Kinney et al., 2009). Further, another investigation found that the administration of forskolin (an AC activator) was able to rescue the galanin-induced deficit (Kinney et. al. 2003), providing further support that the spatial learning and memory deficits due to galanin may be mediated by its inhibition of AC activity and downstream phosphorylation of CREB. Taken together, these data suggest that the investigation of



galanin deficits should focus more specifically on the intracellular signaling cascades modulated by galanin. However, the possibility still remains that the cholinergic cell loss and overexpression of galanin observed in AD result in a completely different scenario.

In order to examine the relationship between cholinergic cell loss similar to what is observed in AD and increased galanin levels, a cholinergic neurotoxin was centrally administered in experiment 2. When the interactions of donepezil and galanin were examined in a compromised cholinergic system, a completely different behavioral profile emerged. Most notably, the galanin-induced deficit, which has been well characterized in tasks of spatial learning and memory, was absent following the cholinergic lesion. In addition, donepezil administration following the lesion produced a deficit in the probe trial indicating these animals did not learn the spatial location of the platform. This result was somewhat surprising as the majority of the literature suggests that increasing cholinergic tone is beneficial to an organism. Importantly, co-administration of donepezil and galanin following the cholinergic lesion did not produce a learning and memory impairment even though higher thigmotaxis was seen throughout training in this group. Further, a deficit in latency to reach the visible platform was observed in these animals which can largely be accounted for by the increase in thigmotaxis observed during visible training. Because this group did not show any impairment in hidden platform training or the probe trial, this visible platform deficit is not likely due to any visuomotor impairments. Interestingly, animals co-administered donepezil and galanin in experiment 1 also displayed increased thigmotaxis during visible platform training suggesting an anxiety phenotype may be present following co-administration of these compounds.



Future studies may be able to clarify any anxiogenic effects related to the interaction of galanin and donepezil.

In the group administered a cholinergic lesion followed by saline and ACSF, no spatial learning and memory deficit was detected. Although this result was also unexpected, the data on spatial learning and memory following a cholinergic lesion are fairly inconsistent (Walsh et al., 1984; Lamberty et al., 1992; Nakamura et al., 1992; Opello et al., 1993; Dornan et al., 1996; Bizon et al., 2003; Frick et al., 2004; Dashniani et al., 2009). Whether or not a learning deficit is observed is likely tied to the extent of the cholinergic lesion and the particular neurotoxin employed. The unilateral cholinergic lesion administered in the current study was selected to induce mild damage to the basal forebrain, in an effort to mimic early or even preclinical AD. Thus, because our cholinergic insult was relatively small, the spatial learning task utilized may not have been sensitive enough to detect any behavioral changes due to the lesion. However, it is clear the lesion itself did have a physiological and behavioral impact as the effects of galanin and donepezil become radically different following the lesion versus in a normal, non-lesioned animal. Further, although not significant, there was a marked reduction in the amount of cholinergic-positive cells in the basal forebrain region of lesioned animals.

The results from the Western Blot and immunohistochemistry (IHC) experiments are a little less clear. Although disagreements exist on the accuracy of quantitative IHC techniques, the IHC experiments disclose useful and interesting information regarding neurobiological changes. Based on our data, there is a significant reduction in the amount of VAChT-positive cells in the basal forebrain region in animals administered the lesion plus galanin as compared to sham controls. This finding is contrary to what we expected



given the trophic effects that have been observed following galanin administration *in vitro* (Zini et al., 1993; Holmes et al., 2000; O'Meara et al., 2000; Mahoney et al., 2003; Elliot-Hunt et al., 2007). Further, because galanin administration following the lesion did not produce a learning and memory deficit in the water maze, this significant reduction in cholinergic-positive cells is even more surprising. The possibility exists that although galanin may not exhibit any neuroprotective qualities *in vivo*, its effects in a compromised cholinergic system are different than in a normal, non-lesioned animal. More data related to the *in vivo* effects of galanin in animal models relevant to the specific disease state may be necessary to clarify this galanin-induced change.

There is also a non-significant trend (p=.095) towards a reduction in cholinergic cells in lesioned animals. Although this reduction did not reach significance in this group, it is clear the lesion did alter the amount of VAChT-positive cells in the basal forebrain. Interestingly, in the lesion plus donepezil and lesion plus donepezil and galanin groups, there is very little change in the amount of cholinergic neurons as compared to sham controls. Whether this lack of a reduction in cholinergic cells in these groups reflects any neuroprotective properties of donepezil is difficult to determine with the data at hand. However, previous studies have discovered possible neuroprotective effects of donepezil (Takada-Takatori et al., 2006; Akasofu et al., 2008), raising the possibility that daily donepezil administration may have negated the effects of the lesion in the group administered donepezil following the lesion. These results suggest compensatory changes following the lesion in combination with donepezil administration may have disrupted



spatial memory. Further possibilities regarding the behavioral deficit in this group are outlined below.

An analysis of the densitometry of the Western blots revealed no significant differences among the groups in either the cortex or the hippocampus. Interestingly however, the trends in the VAChT protein levels in the cortex seem to mirror the group differences observed following the IHC cell counts. The consistency observed between procedures in the differences among groups reinforces the validity of the findings. Further, although there is a large amount of variability within each group, clear trends are observable in the hippocampus as well. It is interesting to note that these trends tend to be opposed to the data from the Western blot cortex samples and the IHC basal forebrain cell counts. Spatial learning and memory in the Morris water maze is a hippocampallydependent task. Therefore, the shift in VAChT protein levels in the hippocampus in some of these groups could potentially account for why a deficit is observed in the lesion plus donepezil group while none is found in the lesion plus galanin group. Specifically, the Western data indicate that the lesion plus donepezil group has lower levels of VAChT protein in the hippocampus than the lesion and lesion plus galanin groups. This difference may play a role in the lesion plus donepezil group deficit, as further outlined below. The large variability observed within each group precludes the discovery of any significant differences among these groups, and a replication of these findings may be necessary before any definitive conclusions can be reached regarding the neurobiological effects of donepezil and/or galanin following a lesion.

The data from the above experiments indicate an interesting shift in the effects of galanin as well as the interaction of donepezil and galanin. In experiment 1, donepezil



alone did not alter performance while galanin impaired spatial learning and memory consistent with previous investigations. Interestingly, the galanin deficit was still present when donepezil was co-administered indicating the galanin learning impairment is likely not related to the suppression of cholinergic signaling. However, in the presence of a cholinergic lesion these effects were inverted. Following perturbation of the cholinergic system as in AD, the same dose of donepezil produced a spatial learning impairment while the same concentration of galanin (from the same batch) or co-administration of galanin and donepezil did not lead to a learning impairment. Even more compelling is that the cholinergic lesion alone was insufficient to impair learning and memory in the water maze. These data suggest that there are differential effects of both galanin and donepezil depending on the level of cholinergic tone. Further, it is also interesting to note that while the galanin deficit does not appear to be tied directly to the modulation of ACh, following the reduction of cholinergic tone as in AD, galanin does not produce an impairment. In addition, although administration of donepezil following the lesion impaired water maze performance, when galanin was co-administered with donepezil, this donepezil-induced deficit vanished. These data suggest that galanin may have a beneficial role in a compromised cholinergic system similar to what is observed in AD. These findings also support a more detailed investigation of galanin activity in specific disease state models as opposed to previous investigations of exogenous galanin administered to normal subjects.

The role of galanin in AD is currently unknown with many investigators suggesting it may be exacerbating cognitive symptoms while others support a potential trophic role for galanin in the disorder. Interestingly, galanin has been shown to protect



neurons from the toxic effects of A $\beta$  (Ding et al., 2006; Cheng & Yu, 2010; Cui et al., 2010) and has also recently been demonstrated to rescue A $\beta$ -induced learning and memory deficits in the Morris water maze (Cheng & Yu, 2010). These studies suggest the overexpression of galanin may serve a protective role in AD in an attempt to counteract the neurotoxic effects of A $\beta$ . In the current study when cholinergic functioning was altered, galanin may also display protective properties similar to what is seen following A $\beta$  infusion. However, the histological data did not reveal any neuroprotective qualities of galanin, indicating the lack of a behavioral deficit may be unrelated to galanin's trophic properties.

The cholinergic lesion also altered the behavioral outcome of donepezil administration, producing a deficit where none was observed without the lesion. This finding suggests neurological changes due to the lesion, perhaps compensatory in nature, followed by the inhibition of AChE may have created a scenario where the increase in cholinergic tone impaired spatial learning. In fact, there are reports that also demonstrate spatial learning deficits due to increasing cholinergic tone (Bunce et al., 2004; Elvander et al., 2004; Sabolek et al., 2005), particularly in the retrieval of previously learned information (Rogers & Kesner, 2004). This retrieval deficit is especially relevant in our study considering donepezil administration following the cholinergic lesion impaired probe trial performance in the Morris water maze. However, further studies are necessary to confirm and elucidate the mechanisms driving this donepezil-induced change. In addition, no deficit was observed following co-administration of donepezil and galanin in rats with a compromised cholinergic system, suggesting whatever effect galanin has following a lesion is sufficient to rescue the donepezil-induced deficit. It is possible that



the increase in cholinergic tone caused by donepezil was reduced by galanin administration (Fisone et al., 1987; Dutar et al., 1989; Palazzi et al., 1991), which restored ACh levels to a level consistent with other groups and eliminated the donepezilinduced deficit. Clearly, the interactions between galanin and the cholinergic system become more complex following a cholinergic lesion mimicking early AD and more data may be required before any definitive interpretations can be made.

Regardless of whether or not galanin plays a neuroprotective role in AD, based on the findings from experiment 1, the behavioral deficits attributed to galanin are more likely due to its inhibition of CREB phosphorylation (Kinney et al., 2009) and not tied to suppression of cholinergic signaling. Further, it may be necessary to readdress the behavioral consequences of galanin's modulation of cholinergic functioning, especially in early or preclinical AD when ACh levels begin to fall and cognitive deficits begin to arise. A potentially more relevant approach to galanin in AD may be to address the mechanisms responsible for its overexpression in AD. This approach may provide valuable data regarding the mechanisms involved in the pathology of AD. For example, several groups have argued that calcium alterations may be tied to both the neurofibrillary tangles and A $\beta$  plaques observed in AD (McKee et al., 1990; Hardy & Higgins, 1992; Mattson et al., 1992; Murray et al., 1992; Querfurth & Selkoe, 1994; Green & Laferla, 2008; Lopez et al., 2008; Vale et al., 2010). As the transcriptional regulation of galanin is on a calcium-dependent switch, specifically a CREB-dependent transcription factor (Zachariou et al., 2001), the overexpression of galanin may be tied to other pathological findings in AD. Changes in calcium levels consistently observed in AD (Peterson et al., 1985; Landfield et al., 1989; Thibault & Landfield, 1996; Raza et al.,



2007; Thibault et al., 2007; Bezprozvanny and Mattson, 2008; Bojarski et al., 2008) could potentially lead to increased CREB activation and an upregulation of galanin. In addition, as galanin has demonstrated neuroprotection against calcium-induced damage (Palazzi et al., 1991; Mazarati et al., 2000; Arabadzisz et al., 2005; Mazarati et al., 2006; Endoh et al., 2008), these changes in calcium levels alone could lead to the overexpression of galanin in AD.

The potential upregulation of galanin following calcium dysegulation raises the possibility of utilizing galanin as a marker in animal models and perhaps AD as well. If the overexpression of galanin observed in AD and animal models of AD (Baraka & ElGhotny, 2010) is due to changes in calcium levels, then measuring levels of galanin may prove fruitful in the detection of AD *in vivo*. The development of galanin receptor ligands for clinical use has proven challenging. Therefore, investigations of galanin in AD may be better served by examining levels of galanin as a marker for cell damage or cell loss due to calcium alterations.

The role of galanin in inhibiting the evoked release of classical neurotransmitters and preventing neuronal hyperactivity has been well documented (Dutar et al., 1989; Pieribone et al., 1995; Kinney et al., 1998; Xu et al., 1998). However, galanin's inhibition of CREB phosphorylation provides a possible alternative explanation for its potential neuroprotective properties in a damaged nervous system. By inhibiting AC and downstream phosphorylation of CREB, galanin may be conserving vital resources by halting the activation of several transcription factors. This reduction in transcription may allow a struggling nervous system to allocate resources where necessary without expending unwanted energy, which could be critical in a neurodegenerative disorder such



as AD. In the current study, galanin did not produce a spatial learning and memory impairment when administered to an animal with a compromised cholinergic system. This finding is unique as previous investigations with several learning and memory tasks have typically demonstrated learning impairments following galanin administration. Together with results from previous studies indicating galanin inhibits CREB phosphorylation (Kinney et al., 2009), these findings could potentially support a role for galanin in the preservation of a damaged nervous system in response to cellular insults as may be occurring in AD.

The cognitive effects of galanin overexpression in AD are currently unknown while many investigators suggest galanin may be exacerbating cognitive deficits by suppressing cholinergic tone. The current study emphasizes that the spatial learning and memory impairments following galanin administration are likely distinct from cholinergic modulation. Previous work indicates perhaps more attention instead should be paid to galanin's inhibition of CREB phosphorylation as a potential modulator of learning and memory (Kinney et al., 2003; Kinney et al., 2009). Further, the data suggest galanin administration may be beneficial for spatial learning in an animal model of AD mimicking cholinergic loss as well as in other studies following infusion of Aβ peptide (Cheng & Yu, 2010). Although more studies are necessary to elucidate any neuroprotective effects of galanin *in vivo*, our data argue for a reappraisal of the role of galanin in learning and memory as well as AD. These findings may also impact the utility of administering galanin receptor ligands both in AD as well as other neurodegenerative disorders.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Akasofu S, Kimura M, Kosasa T, Sawada K, & Ogura H. Study of neuroprotection of donepezil, a therapy for Alzheimer's disease. Chem Biol Interact 2008; 175: 222-26.
- Akiyama H, Barger S, Barnum S, Bradt B, Bauer J, Cole GM, Cooper NR, Eikelenboom P, Emmerling M, Fiebich BL, Finch CE, Frautschy S, Griffin WS, Hampel H, Hull M, Landreth G, Lue L, Mrak R, Mackenzie IR, McGeer PL, O'Banion MK, Pachter J, Pasinetti G, Plata-Salaman C, Rogers J, Rydel R, Shen Y, Streit W, Strohmeyer R, Tooyoma I, Van Muiswinkel FL, Veerhuis R, Walker D, Webster S, Wegrzyniak B, Wenk G, & Wyss-Coray T. Inflammation and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2000; 21(30: 383-421.
- Anagnostaras SG, Murphy GG, Hamilton SE, Mitchell SL, Rahnama NP, Nathanson NM, & Silva AJ. Selective cognitive dysfunction in acetylcholine M1 muscarinic receptor mutant mice. Nat Neurosci 2003; 6: 51-8.
- Arabadzisz D, Antal K, Parpan F, Emri Z, & Fritschy JM. Epileptogenesis and chronic seizures in a mouse model of temporal lobe epilepsy are associated with distinct EEG patterns and selective neurochemical alterations in the contralateral hippocampus. Exp Neurol 2005; 194: 76-90.
- Arriagada PV, Growdon JH, Hedley-Whyte ET, & Hyman BT. Neurofibrillary tangles but not senile plaques parallel duration and severity of Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 1992; 42: 631-639.
- Baraka A & ElGhotny S. Study of the effect of inhibiting galanin in Alzheimer's disease induced in rats. Eur J Pharmacology 2010; 641: 123-127.
- Bartolini M, Bertucci C, Cavrini V, & Andrisano V. Beta-amyloid aggregation induced by human acetylcholinesterase: inhibition studies. Biochem Pharmacol 2003; 65: 407-416.
- Bartsch D, Casadio A, Karl KA, Serodio P, & Kandel ER. CREB1 encodes a nuclear activator, a repressor, and a cytoplasmic modulator that form a regulatory unit critical for long-term facilitation. Cell 1998; 95: 211-23.
- Bartus RT. Physostigmine and recent memory: effects in young and aged nonhuman primates. Science 1979; 206: 1087-9.
- Bartus RT, Dean RL III, Beer B, & Lippa AS. The Cholinergic Hypothesis of Geriatric Memory Dysfunction. Science 1982; 217: 408-17.
- Bateman RJ, Munsell LY, Morris JC, Swarm R, Yarasheski KE, & Holtzman DM. Human amyloid-beta synthesis and clearance rates as measured in cerebrospinal fluid in vivo. Nat Med 2006; 12: 856-61.
- Beach TG, Hughes LH, & Honer WG. Cholinergic fibre loss associated with diffuse plaques in the non-demented elderly: the preclinical stage of Alzheimer's disease? Acta Neuropathol 1997; 93: 146-53.
- Beach TG, Sue LI, Scott S, & Sparks DL. Neurofibrillary tangles are constant in aging human nucleus basalis. Alzheimer's Reports 1998; 1: 375-80.
- Beach TG, Kuo YM, Spiegel K, Emmerling MR, Sue LI, Kokjohn K, & Roher AE. The cholinergic deficit coincides with Abeta deposition at the earliest histopathologic stages of Alzheimer disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2000; 59(4): 308-13.



- Beal MF, MacGarvey U & Swartz KJ. Galanin immunoreactivity is increased in the nucleus basalis of Meynert in Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 1990; 28(2): 157-61.
- Bezprozvanny I & Mattson MP. Neuronal calcium mishandling and the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. Trends Neurosci 2008; 31: 454-463.
- Bizon JL, Han JS, Hudon C, & Gallagher M. Effects of hippocampal cholinergic deafferentation on learning strategy selection in a visible platform version of the water maze. Hippocampus 2003; 13: 676-684.
- Blurton-Jones M & LaFerla FM. Pathways by which Aβ facilitates tau pathology. Curr Alzheimer Res 2006; 3(5): 437-448.
- Bojarski L, Herms J, & Kuznicki J. Calcium dysregulation in Alzheimer's disease. Neurochem Int 2008; 52: 621-633.
- Borchelt DR, Thinakaran G, Eckman CB, Lee MK, Davenport F, Ratovitsky T, Prada CM, Kim G, Seekins S, Yager D, Slunt HH, Wang R, Seeger M, Levey AI, Gandy SE, Copeland NG, Jenkins NA, Price DL, Younkin SG, & Sisodia SS. Familial Alzheimer's disease-linked presenilin 1 variants elevate Abeta1-42/1-40 ratio in vitro and in vivo. Neuron 1996; 17: 1005-13.
- Borowsky B, Walker MW, Huang LY, Jones KA, Smith KE, Bard J, Branchek TA, & Gerald C. Cloning and characterization of the human galanin GALR2 receptor. Peptides 1998; 19: 1771-81.
- Bowen DM, Benton JS, Spillane JA, Smith CC, & Allen SJ. Choline acetyltransferase activity and histopathology of frontal neocortex from biopsies of demented patients. J Neurol Sci 1982; 57: 191-202.
- Bowser R, Kordower JH, Mufson EJ. A confocal microscopic analysis of galaninergic hyperinnervation of cholinergic basal forebrain neurons in Alzheimer's disease. Brain Pathol 1997; 7: 723-30.
- Braak H & Braak E. Neuropathological staging of Alzheimer-related changes. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 1991; 82: 239-259.
- Bramblett GT, Goedert M, Jakes R, Merrick SE, Trojanowski JQ, & Lee VM. Abnormal tau phosphorylation at Ser396 in Alzheimer's disease recapitulates development and contributes to reduced microtubule binding. Neuron 1993; 10: 1089-99.
- Branchek T, Smith KE, & Walker MW. Molecular biology and pharmacology of galanin receptors. Ann NY Acad Sci 1998; 863: 94-107.
- Bunce JG, Sabolek HR, & Chrobak JJ. Intraseptal infusion of the cholinergic agonist carbachol impairs delayed-non-match-to-sample radial arm maze performance in the rat. Hippocampus 2004; 14: 450-459.
- Butler M & Shelanski ML. Microheterogeneity of microtubule-associated tau proteins is due to differences in phosphorylation. J Neurochem 1986; 47: 1517-22.
- Buxbaum JD, Oishi M, Chen HI, Pinkas-Kramarski R, Jaffe EA, Gandy SE, & Greengard P. Cholinergic agonists and interleukin 1 regulate processing and secretion of the Alzheimer beta/A4 amyloid protein precursor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992; 89: 10075-8.
- Caccamo A, Oddo S, Billings LM, Green KN, Martinez-Coria H, Fisher A, & LaFerla FM. M1 receptors play a central role in modulating AD-like pathology in transgenic mice. Neuron 2006; 49: 671-82.


- Canzoniero LMT & Snider BJ. Calcium in Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis: Too much, too little or in the wrong place? J Alz Disease 2005; 8: 147-54.
- Chai CK. The genetics of Alzheimer's disease. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 2007 ;22(1): 37-41.
- Chan-Palay V. Galanin hyperinnervates surviving neurons of the human basal nucleus of Meynert in dementias of Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease: a hypothesis for the role of galanin in accentuating cholinergic dysfunction in dementia. J Comp Neurol 1988; 273(4): 543-557.
- Chan-Palay V. Hyperinnervation of surviving neurons of the human basal nucleus of Meynert by galanin in dementias of Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease. Adv Neurol 1990; 51: 253-255.
- Chen H-SV, Pellegrini JW, Aggarwal SK, Lei SZ, Warach S, Jensen FE, & Lipton SA. Open-channel block of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) responses by memantine: therapeutic advantage against NMDA receptor-mediated neurotoxicity. J Neurosci 1992; 12: 4427-4436.
- Cheng Y & Yu LC. Galanin protects amyloid-beta-induced neurotoxicity on primary cultured hippocampal neurons of rats. J Alzheimers Dis 2010; 20: 1143-1157.
- Citron M, Oltersdorf T, Haass C, McConlogue L, Hung AY, Seubert P, Vigo-Pelfrey C, Lieberburg I, & Selkoe DJ. Mutation of the beta-amyloid precursor protein in familial Alzheimer's disease increases beta-protein production. Nature 1992; 360: 372-4.
- Citron M, Diehl TS, Gordon G, Biere AL, Seubert P, & Selkoe DJ. Evidence that the 42and 40-amino acid forms of amyloid beta protein are generated from the betaamyloid precursor protein by different protease activities. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996; 93: 13170-5.
- Citron M, Westaway D, Xia W, Carlson G, Diehl T, Levesque G, Johnson-Wood K, Lee M, Seubert P, Davis A, Kholodenko D, Motter R, Sherrington R, Perry B, Yao H, Strome R, Lieberburg I, Rommens J, Kim S, Schenk D, Fraser P, St George Hyslop P, & Selkoe DJ. Mutant presenilins of Alzheimer's disease increase production of 42-residue amyloid beta-protein in both transfected cells and transgenic mice. Nat Med 1997; 3: 67-72.
- Cluskey S, & Ramsden DB. Mechanisms of neurodegeneration in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Mol Pathol 2001; 54: 386-92.
- Comb M, Birnberg NC, Seasholtz A, Herbert E, & Goodman HM. A cyclic AMP- and phorbol ester-inducible DNA element. Nature 1986; 323: 353-6.
- Combs CK, Karlo JC, Kao SC, & Landreth GE. beta-Amyloid stimulation of microglia and monocytes results in TNFalpha-dependent expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase and neuronal apoptosis. J Neurosci 2001; 21: 1179-88.
- Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel DE, Gaskell PC, Small GW, Roses AD, Haines JL, & Pericak-Vance MA. Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. Science 1993; 261(5123): 921-3
- Cortes R, Villar MJ, Verhofstad A & Hokfelt T. Effects of central nervous system lesions on the expression of galanin: a comparative in situ hybridization and immunohistochemical study. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990; 87: 7742-46.



- Counts SE, Chen EY, Che S, Ikonomovic MD, Wuu J, Ginsberg SD, Dekosky ST, & Mufson EJ. Galanin fiber hypertrophy within the nucleus basalis during the progression of Alzheimer's disease. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2006; 21: 205-14.
- Counts SE, He B, Che S, Ginsberg SD, & Mufson EJ. Galanin hyperinnervation upregulates choline acetyltransferase expression in cholinergic basal forebrain neurons in Alzheimer's disease. Neurodegener Dis 2008; 5: 228-231.
- Cox T & Tye N. Effects of physotigmine on the acquisition of a position discrimination in rats. Neuropharmacology 1973; 12: 477-84.
- Cruts M, van Duijn CM, Backhovens H, Van den Broeck M, Wehnert A, Serneels S, Sherrington R, Hutton M, Hardy J, St George-Hyslop PH, Hofman A, & Van Broeckhoven C. Estimation of the genetic contribution of presenilin-1 and -2 mutations in a population-based study of presenile Alzheimer disease. Hum Mol Genet 1998; 7(1): 43-51.
- Crystal H, Dickson D, Fuld P, Masur D, Scott R, Mehler M, Masdeu J, Kawas C, Aronson M, & Wolfson L. Clinico-pathologic studies in dementia: nondemented subjects with pathologically confirmed Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 1988; 38: 1682-7.
- Cui J, Chen Q, Yue X, Jiang X, Gao GF, Yu LC, & Zhang Y. Galanin protects against intracellular amyloid toxicity in human primary neurons. J Alzheimers Dis 2010; 19: 529-544.
- Cull-Candy SG & Usowicz MM. Multiple-conductance channels activated by excitatory amino acids in cerebellar neurons. Nature 1987; 325: 525-8.
- Cutuli D, Foti F, Mandolesi L, De Bartolo P, Gelfo F, Federico F, & Petrosini L. Cognitive performance of healthy young rats following chronic donepezil administration. Psychopharmacology 2008; 197: 661-673.
- Dash PK, Hochner B, & Kandel ER. Injection of the cAMP-responsive element into the nucleus of Aplysia sensory neurons blocks long-term facilitation. Nature 1990; 345: 718-21.
- Dashniani MG, Beseliia GV, Maglakelidze GA, Burdzhanadze MA, & Chkhikvishvili NTs. Effects of the selective lesions of cholinergic septohippocampal neurons on different forms of memory and learning process. Georgian Med News 2009; 166: 81-85.
- Davies P & Maloney AJ. Selective loss of central cholinergic neurons in Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 1976; 2: 1403.
- Davies P. Neurotransmitter-related enzymes in senile dementia of the Alzheimer type. Brain Res 1979; 171: 319-27.
- Davis KL, Mohs RC, Tinklenberg JR, Pfefferbaum A, Hollister LE, & Kopell BS. Physostigmine: improvement of long-term memory processes in normal humans. Science 1978; 201: 272-4.
- Dawson GR, Seabrook GR, Zheng H, Smith DW, Graham S, O'Dowd G, Bowery BJ, Boyce S, Trumbauer ME, Chen HY, Van der Ploeg LH, Sirinathsinghji DJ. Agerelated cognitive deficits, impaired long-term potentiation and reduction in synaptic marker density in mice lacking the beta-amyloid precursor protein. Neuroscience 1999; 90(1): 1-13.



- De Strooper B, Saftig P, Craessaerts K, Vanderstichele H, Guhde G, Annaert W, Von Figura K, & Van Leuven F. Deficiency of presenilin-1 inhibits the normal cleavage of amyloid precursor protein. Nature 1998; 391: 387-90.
- Deutsch JA. The Cholinergic Synapse and the Site of Memory. Science 1971; 174: 788-94.
- Ding X, MacTavish D, Kar S, & Jhamandas JH. Galanin attenuates β-amyloid (Aβ) toxicity in rat cholinergic basal forebrain neurons. Neurobiology of Disease 2006; 21: 413-420.
- Ditzler K. Efficacy and tolerability of memantine in patients with dementia syndrome. A double-blind, placebo controlled trial. Arzneimittelforschung 1991; 41: 773-80.
- Dolev I & Michaelson DM. A nontransgenic mouse model shows inducible amyloid-beta (Abeta) peptide deposition and elucidates the role of apolipoprotein E in the amyloid cascade. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004; 101: 13909-14.
- Dong H, Csernansky CA, Martin MV, Bertchume A, Vallera D, & Csernansky JG. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors ameliorate behavioral deficits in the Tg2576 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Psychopharmacology 2005; 181 (1): 145-152.
- Dornan WA, McCampbell AR, Tinkler GP, Hickman LJ, Bannon AW, Decker MW, & Gunther KL. Comparison of site-specific injections into the basal forebrain on water maze and radial arm maze performance in the male rat after immunolesioning with 192 IgG saporin. Behav Brain Res 1996; 82: 93-101.
- Douglas RM & Goddard GV. Long-term potentiation of the perforant path-granule cell synapse in the rat hippocampus. Brain Res 1975; 86: 205-215.
- Dovey HF, John V, Anderson JP, Chen LZ, de Saint Andrieu P, Fang LY, Freedman SB, Folmer B, Goldbach E, Holsztynska EJ, Hu KL, Johnson-Wood KL, Kennedy SL, Kholodenko D, Knops JE, Latimer LH, Lee M, Liao Z, Lieberburg IM, Motter RN, Mutter LC, Nietz J, Quinn KP, Sacchi KL, Seubert PA, Shopp GM, Thorsett ED, Tung JS, Wu J, Yang S, Yin CT, Schenk DB, May PC, Altstiel LD, Bender MH, Boggs LN, Britton TC, Clemens JC, Czilli DL, Dieckman-McGinty DK, Droste JJ, Fuson KS, Gitter BD, Hyslop PA, Johnstone EM, Li WY, Little SP, Mabry TE, Miller FD, Audia JE. Functional gamma-secretase inhibitors reduce beta-amyloid peptide levels in brain. J Neurochem 2001; 76(1): 173-81.
- Duff K, Eckman C, Zehr C, Yu X, Prada CM, Perez-tur J, Hutton M, Buee L, Harigaya Y, Yager D, Morgan D, Gordon MN, Holcomb L, Refolo L, Zenk B, Hardy J, & Younkin S. Increased amyloid-beta42(43) in brains of mice expressing mutant presenilin 1. Nature 1996; 383: 710-13.
- Dutar P, Lamour Y, & Nicoll RA. Galanin blocks the slow cholinergic EPSP in CA1 pyramidal neurons from ventral hippocampus. Eur J Pharmacol 1989; 164(2): 355-360.
- Elliott-Hunt CR, Marsh B, Bacon A, Pope R, Vanderplank P, & Wynick D. Galanin acts as a neuroprotective factor to the hippocampus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004; 101: 5105-10.
- Elliot-Hunt CR, Pope R, Vanderplank P, & Wynick D. Activation of the galanin receptor 2 (GalR2) protects the hippocampus from neuronal damage. J Neurochem 2007; 100: 780-89.



- Elvander E, Schött PA, Sandin J, Bjelke B, Kehr J, Yoshitake T, & Ogren SO. Intraseptal muscarinic ligands and galanin: influence on hippocampal acetylcholine and cognition. Neuroscience 2004; 126: 541-7.
- Endoh T, Sato D, Wada Y, Shibukawa Y, Ishihara K, Hashimoto S, Yoshinari M, Matsuzaka K, Tazaki M, & Inoue T. Galanin inhibits calcium channels via Galpha(i)-protein mediated by GalR1 in rat nucleus tractus solitarius. Brain Res 2008; 1229: 37-46.
- Fathi Z, Battaglino PM, Iben LG, Li H, Baker E, Zhang D, McGovern R, Mahle CD, Sutherland GR, Iismaa TP, Dickinson KE, & Zimanyi IA. Molecular characterization, pharmacological properties and chromosomal localization of the human GALR2 galanin receptor. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 1998; 58: 156-69.
- Fisone G, Wu CF, Consolo S, Nördstrom O, Brynne N, Bartfai T, Melander T, & Hökfelt T. Galanin inhibits acetylcholine release in the ventral hippocampus of the rat: Histochemical, autoradiographic, *in vivo*, and *in vitro* studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1987; 84(20): 7339-7343.
- Flynn DD & Mash DC. Characterization of L-[3H]nicotine binding in human cerebral cortex: comparison between Alzheimer's disease and the normal. J Neurochem 1986; 47: 1948-54.
- Forlenza OV, Spink JM, Dayanandan R, Anderton BH, Olesen OF, Lovestone S. Muscarinic agonists reduce tau phosphorylation in non-neuronal cells via GSK-3beta inhibition and in neurons. J Neural Transm 2000; 107: 1201-12.
- Frick KM, Kim JJ, & Baxter MG. Effects of complete immunotoxin lesions of the cholinergic basal forebrain on fear conditioning and spatial learning. Hippocampus 2004; 14: 244-254.
- Gabriel SM, Knott PJ, & Haroutunian V. Alterations in cerebral cortical galanin concentrations following neurotransmitter-specific subcortical lesions in the rat. J Neurosci 1995; 15: 5526-34.
- Glenner GG & Wong CW. Alzheimer's disease: initial report of the purification and characterization of a novel cerebrovascular amyloid protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1984; 120: 885-890.
- Goate A, Chartier-Harlin MC, Mullan M, Brown J, Crawford F, Fidani L, Giuffra L, Haynes A, Irving N, James L, et al. Segregation of a missense mutation in the amyloid precursor protein gene with familial Alzheimer's disease. Nature 1991; 349: 704-706.
- Goedert M, Wischik CM, Crowther RA, Walker JE, & Klug A. Cloning and sequencing of the cDNA encoding a core protein of the paired helical filament of Alzheimer disease: identification as the microtubule-associated protein tau. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1988; 85: 4051-5.
- Goedert M, Spillantini MG, Jakes R, Rutherford D, & Crowther RA. Multiple isoforms of human microtubule-associated protein tau: sequences and localization in neurofibrillary tangles of Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 1989; 3: 519-26.
- Goedert M, Spillantini MG, & Crowther RA. Cloning of a big tau microtubule-associated protein characteristic of the peripheral nervous system. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992; 89: 1983-87.
- Goedert M. Tau protein and the neurofibrillary pathology of Alzheimer's disease. Ann NY Acad Sci 1996; 777: 121-31.



- Golde TE, Estus S, Younkin LH, Selkoe DJ, & Younkin SG. Processing of the amyloid protein precursor to potentially amyloidogenic derivatives. Science 1992; 255: 728-30.
- Gómez-Isla T, Hollister R, West H, Mui S, Growdon JH, Petersen RC, Parisi JE, & Hyman BT. Neuronal loss correlates with but exceeds neurofibrillary tangles in Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 1997; 41(1): 17-24.
- Gotz J, Chen F, van Dorpe J, & Nitsch RM. Formation of neurofibrillary tangles in P301L tau transgenic mice induced by Abeta 42 fibrils. Science 2001; 293(5534): 1491-5.
- Green KN & LaFerla FM. Linking calcium to Abeta and Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 2008; 59: 190-194.
- Grundke-Iqbal I, Iqbal K, Tung YC, Quinlan M, Wisniewski HM, & Binder LI. Abnormal phosphorylation of the microtubule-associated protein tau (tau) in Alzheimer cytoskeletal pathology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1986; 83: 4913-17.
- Guo JP, Arai T, Miklossy J & McGeer PL. Abeta and tau form soluble complexes that may promote self aggregation of both the insoluble forms observed in Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103: 1953-8.
- Habert-Ortoli E, Amiranoff B, Loquet I, Laburthe M, & Mayaux JF. Molecular cloning of a functional human galanin receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994; 91: 9780-3.
- Hardy JA & Higgins GA. Alzheimer's disease: the amyloid cascade hypothesis. Science 1992; 256(5054): 184-5.
- Harkany T, Mulder J, Sasvári M, Abrahám I, Kónya C, Zarándi M, Penke B, Luiten PG, & Nyakas C. N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist MK-801 and radical scavengers protect cholinergic nucleus basalis neurons against beta-amyloid neurotoxicity. Neurobiol Dis 1999; 6: 109-21.
- Hartonian I, Mufson EJ, & De LaCalle S. Long-term plastic changes in galanin innervation in the rat basal forebrain. Neuroscience 2002; 115(3): 787-795.
- Harvey AL & Rowan EG. Effects of tacrine, aminopyridines, and physostigmine on acetylcholinesterase, acetylcholine release, and potassium currents. Adv Neurol 1990; 51: 227-33.
- Harvey JA & McIlwain H. Excitatory acidic amino acids and the cation content and sodium ion flux of isolated tissues from the brain. Biochem J 1968; 108: 269-74.
- Hasegawa M. β-amyloid and tau protein. Psychogeriatrics 2004; 4: S62-69.
- Hayes A, Thaker U, Iwatsubo T, Pickering-Brown SM, & Mann DM. Pathological relationships between microglial cell activity and tau and amyloid beta protein in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci Lett 2002; 331: 171-4.
- Heese K & Akatsu H. Alzheimer's Disease An Interactive Perspective. Current Alzheimer Research 2006; 3: 109-121.
- Hellström-Lindahl E, Moore H, & Nordberg A. Increased levels of tau protein in SH-SY5Y cells after treatment with cholinesterase inhibitors and nicotinic agonists. J Neurochem 2000; 74: 777-84.
- Holmes C. Genotype and phenotype in Alzheimer's disease. Br J Psychiatry 2002; 180: 131-134.
- Holmes FE, Mahoney S, King VR, Bacon A, Kerr NC, Pachnis V, Curtis R, Priestley JV, & Wynick D. Targeted disruption of the galanin gene reduces the number of



sensory neurons and their regenerative capacity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000; 97: 11563-8.

- Holtzman DM, Santucci D, Kilbridge J, Chua-Couzens J, Fontana DJ, Daniels SE, Johnson RM, Chen K, Sun Y, Carlson E, Alleva E, Epstein CJ, & Mobley WC. Developmental abnormalities and age-related neurodegeneration in a mouse model of Down syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996; 93: 13333-8.
- Holtzman DM. Role of apoe/Abeta interactions in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease and cerebral amyloid angiopathy. J Mol Neurosci 2001; 17: 147-55.
- Holtzman DM. In vivo effects of ApoE and clusterin on amyloid-beta metabolism and neuropathology. J Mol Neurosci 2004; 23: 247-254.
- Hong M, Zhukareva V, Vogelsberg-Ragaglia V, Wszolek Z, Reed L, Miller BI, Geschwind DH, Bird TD, McKeel D, Goate A, Morris JC, Wilhelmsen KC, Schellenberg GD, Trojanowski JQ, & Lee VM. Mutation-specific functional impairments in distinct tau isoforms of hereditary FTDP-17. Science 1998; 282: 1914-17.
- Hsiao K, Chapman P, Nilsen S, Eckman C, Harigaya Y, Younkin S, Yang F, & Cole G. Correlative memory deficits, Abeta elevation, and amyloid plaques in transgenic mice. Science 1996; 274: 99-102.
- Hulting AL, Land T, Berthold M, Langel U, Hökfelt T, & Bartfai T. Galanin receptors from human pituitary tumors assayed with human galanin as ligand. Brain Res 1993; 625: 173-6.
- Hussain I, Hawkins J, Harrison D, Hille C, Wayne G, Cutler L, Buck T, Walter D, Demont E, Howes C, Naylor A, Jeffrey P, Gonzalez MI, Dingwall C, Michel A, Redshaw S, Davis JB. Oral administration of a potent and selective non-peptidic BACE-1 inhibitor decreases beta-cleavage of amyloid precursor protein and amyloid-beta production in vivo. J Neurochem 2007; 100(3): 802-09.
- Hutton M, Lendon CL, Rizzu P, Baker M, Froelich S, Houlden H, Pickering-Brown S, Chakraverty S, Isaacs A, Grover A, Hackett J, Adamson J, Lincoln S, Dickson D, Davies P, Petersen RC, Stevens M, de Graaff E, Wauters E, van Baren J, Hillebrand M, Joosse M, Kwon JM, Nowotny P, Che LK, Norton J, Morris JC, Reed LA, Trojanowski J, Basun H, Lannfelt L, Neystat M, Fahn S, Dark F, Tannenberg T, Dodd PR, Hayward N, Kwok JB, Schofield PR, Andreadis A, Snowden J, Craufurd D, Neary D, Owen F, Oostra BA, Hardy J, Goate A, van Swieten J, Mann D, Lynch T, & Heutink P. Association of missense and 5'-splicesite mutations in tau with the inherited dementia FTDP-17. Nature 1998; 393: 702-5.
- Iismaa TP & Shine J. Increase of galanin-like immunoreactivity in rat dorsal root ganglion cells after peripheral axotomy. Results and Problems in Cell Differentiation 1999; 26: 257–291.
- Inestrosa NC, Alvarez A, Pérez CA, Moreno RD, Vicente M, Linker C, Casanueva OI, Soto C, Garrido J. Acetylcholinesterase accelerates assembly of amyloid-betapeptides into Alzheimer's fibrils: possible role of the peripheral site of the enzyme. Neuron 1996; 16: 881-91.
- Ishida AT & Neyton J. Quisqualate and L-glutamate inhibit retinal horizontal-cell responses to kainate. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1985; 82: 1837-41.



- Ishii M & Kurachi Y. Muscarinic acetylcholine receptors. Curr Pharm Des 2006; 12: 3573-81.
- Iwata N, Tsubuki S, Takaki Y, Watanabe K, Sekiguchi M, Hosoki E, Kawashima-Morishima M, Lee HJ, Hama E, Sekine-Aizawa Y, & Saido TC. Identification of the major Abeta1-42-degrading catabolic pathway in brain parenchyma: suppression leads to biochemical and pathological deposition. Nat Med 2000; 6: 143-50.
- Iwatsubo T, Odaka A, Suzuki N, Mizusawa H, Nukina N, & Ihara Y. Visualization of A beta 42(43) and A beta 40 in senile plaques with end-specific A beta monoclonals: evidence that an initially deposited species is A beta 42(43). Neuron 1994; 13: 45-53.
- Jahr CE & Stevens CF. Glutamate activates multiple single channel conductances in hippocampal neurons. Nature 1987; 325: 522-5.
- Jarrett JT, Berger EP, & Lansbury PT Jr. The carboxy terminus of the beta amyloid protein is critical for the seeding of amyloid formation: implications for the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. Biochemistry 1993; 32: 4693-7.
- Jhamandas JH, Harris KH, MacTavish D, & Jassar BS. Novel Excitatory Actions of Galanin on Rat Cholinergic Basal Forebrain Neurons: Implications for Its Role in Alzheimer's Disease. J Neurophysiol 2002; 87: 696-704.
- Jiang Q, Lee CY, Mandrekar S, Wilkinson B, Cramer P, Zelcer N, Mann K, Lamb B, Willson TM, Collins JL, Richardson JC, Smith JD, Comery TA, Riddell D, Holtzman DM, Tontonoz P, & Landreth GE. ApoE promotes the proteolytic degradation of Abeta. Neuron 2008; 58: 681-693.
- Kar S, Slowikowski S, Westaway D, & Mount H. Interactions between β-amyloid and central cholinergic neurons: implications for Alzheimer's disease. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2004; 29 (6): 427-441.
- Karelson E & Langel U. Galaninergic signalling and adenylate cyclase. Neuropeptides 1998; 32: 197-210.
- Katayama T, Imaizumi K, Manabe T, Hitomi J, Kudo T, & Tohyama M. Induction of neuronal death by ER stress in Alzheimer's disease. J Chem Neuroanat 2004; 28(1-2): 67-78.
- Katzman R, Terry R, DeTeresa R, Brown T, Davies P, Fuld P, Renbing X, & Peck A. Clinical, pathological, and neurochemical changes in dementia: a subgroup with preserved mental status and numerous neocortical plaques. Ann Neurol 1988; 23: 138-44.
- Kauer JA, Malenka RC, & Nicoll RA. NMDA application potentiates synaptic transmission in the hippocampus. Nature 1988; 334: 250-52.
- Kelly PH, Bondolfi L, Hunziker D, Schlecht HP, Carver K, Maguire E, Abramowski D, Wiederhold KH, Sturchler-Pierrat C, Jucker M, Bergmann R, Staufenbiel M, & Sommer B. Progressive age-related impairment of cognitive behavior in APP23 transgenic mice. Neurobiol Aging 2003; 24: 365-78.
- Kinney GA, Emmerson PJ, & Miller RJ. Galanin receptor-mediated inhibition of glutamate release in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus. J Neurosci 1998; 18(10): 3489-500.



- Kinney JW, Starosta G, Holmes A, Wrenn CC, Yang RJ, Harris AP, Long KC, & Crawley JN. Deficits in trace cued fear conditioning in galanin-treated rats and galanin-overexpressing transgenic mice. Learn Mem 2002; 9(4): 178-190.
- Kinney JW, Starosta G, & Crawley JN. Central galanin administration blocks consolidation of spatial learning. Neurobiology of Learning and Memory 2003; 80: 42-54.
- Kinney JW, Sanchez-Alavez M, Barr AM, Criado JR, Crawley JN, Behrens MM, Henriksen SJ, & Bartfai T. Impairment of memory consolidation by galanin correlates with in vivo inhibition of both LTP and CREB phosphorylation. Neurobiology of Learning and Memory 2009; 92: 429-38.
- Kolakowski LF Jr, O'Neill GP, Howard AD, Broussard SR, Sullivan KA, Feighner SD, Sawzdargo M, Nguyen T, Kargman S, Shiao LL, Hreniuk DL, Tan CP, Evans J, Abramovitz M, Chateauneuf A, Coulombe N, Ng G, Johnson MP, Tharian A, Khoshbouei H, George SR, Smith RG, & O'Dowd BF. Molecular characterization and expression of cloned human galanin receptors GALR2 and GALR3. J Neurochem 1998; 71: 2239-51.
- Kordower JH, Le HK, & Mufson EJ. Galanin immunoreactivity in the primate central nervous system. J Comp Neurol 1992; 319: 479-500.
- Kosasa T, Kuriya Y, Matsui K & Yamanishi Y. Effect of donepezil hydrochloride (E2020) on basal concentration of extracellular acetylcholine in the hippocampus of rats. Eur J Pharmacol 1999; 380: 101–107.
- Kosik KS, Joachim CL, & Selkoe DJ. Microtubule-associated protein tau (tau) is a major antigenic component of paired helical filaments in Alzheimer disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1986; 83: 4044-48.
- Kraemer BC, Zhang B, Leverenz JB, Thomas JH, Trojanowski JQ, & Schellenberg GD. Neurodegeneration and defective neurotransmission in a Caenorhabditis elegans model of tauopathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003; 100: 9980-85.
- Kurochkin IV & Goto S. Alzheimer's beta-amyloid peptide specifically interacts with and is degraded by insulin degrading enzyme. FEBS Lett 1994; 345: 33-37.
- LaDu MJ, Falduto MT, Manelli AM, Reardon CA, Getz GS, & Frail DE. Isoformspecific binding of apolipoprotein E to beta-amyloid. J Biol Chem 1994; 269: 23403-6.
- LaDu MJ, Pederson TM, Frail DE, Reardon CA, Getz GS, & Falduto MT. Purification of apolipoprotein E attenuates isoform-specific binding to beta-amyloid. J Biol Chem 1995; 270: 9039-42.
- Laemmli UK. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 1970; 227: 680-5.
- LaFerla FM. Calcium dyshomeostasis and intracellular signalling in Alzheimer's disease. Nat Rev Neurosci 2002; 3(11): 862-72.
- Lamberty Y, Gower AJ, Gobert J, Hanin I, & Wulfert E. Behavioural, biochemical and histological effects of AF64A following injection into the third ventricle of the mouse. Behav Brain Res 1992; 51: 165-177.
- Landfield PW, Campbell LW, Hao SY, & Kerr DS. Aging-related increases in voltagesensitive, inactivating calcium currents in rat hippocampus. Implications for mechanisms of brain aging and Alzheimer's disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1989; 568: 95-105.



- Lannfelt L, Basun H, Wahlund LO, Rowe BA, & Wagner SL. Decreased alpha-secretasecleaved amyloid precursor protein as a diagnostic marker for Alzheimer's disease. Nat Med 1995; 1: 829-32.
- Lewis J, McGowan E, Rockwood J, Melrose H, Nacharaju P, Van Slegtenhorst M, Gwinn-Hardy K, Paul Murphy M, Baker M, Yu X, Duff K, Hardy J, Corral A, Lin WL, Yen SH, Dickson DW, Davies P, & Hutton M. Neurofibrillary tangles, amyotrophy and progressive motor disturbance in mice expressing mutant (P301L) tau protein. Nat Genet 2000; 25: 402-5.
- Lewis J, Dickson DW, Lin WL, Chisholm L, Corral A, Jones G, Yen SH, Sahara N, Skipper L, Yager D, Eckman C, Hardy J, Hutton M, & McGowan E. Enhanced neurofibrillary degeneration in transgenic mice expressing mutant tau and APP. Science 2001; 293(5534): 1487-1491.
- Levy-Lahad E, Wasco W, Poorkaj P, Romano DM, Oshima J, Pettingell WH, Yu CE, Jondro PD, Schmidt SD, Wang K, et al. Candidate gene for the chromosome 1 familial Alzheimer's disease locus. Science 1995; 269: 970-973.
- Lopez JR, Lyckman A, Oddo S, Laferla FM, Querfurth HW, & Shtifman A. Increased intraneuronal resting [Ca2+] in adult Alzheimer's disease mice. J Neurochem 2008; 105: 262-271.
- Lovestone S, Hartley CL, Pearce J, & Anderton BH. Phosphorylation of tau by glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta in intact mammalian cells: the effects on the organization and stability of microtubules. Neuroscience 1996; 73(4): 1145-57.
- Lucas D & Newhouse J. The toxic effects of sodium L-glutamate on the inner layers of the retina. Arch Ophthalmol 1957; 58: 193-201.
- MacDermott AB, Mayer ML, Westbrook GL, Smith SJ, & Barker JL. NMDA-receptor activation increases cytoplasmic calcium concentration in cultured spinal cord neurones. Nature 1986; 321: 519-22.
- Mahoney SA, Hosking R, Farrant S, Holmes FE, Jacoby AS, Shine J, Iismaa TP, Scott MK, Schmidt R, & Wynick D. The second galanin receptor GalR2 plays a key role in neurite outgrowth from adult sensory neurons. J Neurosci 2003; 23: 416-21.
- Mancuso M, Coppede F, Migliore L, Siciliano G, & Murri L. Mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress and neurodegeneration. J Alzheimers Dis 2006; 10(1): 59-73.
- Masters CL, Simms G, Weinman NA, Multhaup G, McDonald BL, & Beyreuther K. Amyloid plaque core protein in Alzheimer's disease and Down's syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1985; 82: 4245-49.
- Mastropaolo J, Nadi NS, Ostrowski NL, & Crawley JN. Galanin antagonizes acetylcholine on a memory task in basal forebrain-lesioned rats. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1988; 85(24): 9841-5.
- Mattson MP, Cheng B, Davis D, Bryant K, Lieberburg I, & Rydel RE. beta-Amyloid peptides destabilize calcium homeostasis and render human cortical neurons vulnerable to excitotoxicity. J Neurosci 1992; 12: 376-89.
- Mattson MP & Chan SL. Neuronal and glial calcium signaling in Alzheimer's disease. Cell Calcium 2003; 34: 385-97.

Mazarati AM, Hohmann JG, Bacon A, Liu H, Sankar R, Steiner RA, Wynick D, & Wasterlain. Modulation of hippocampal excitability and seizures by galanin. J Neurosci 2000; 20: 6276-81.



- Mazarati A, Lundström L, Sollenberg U, Shin D, Langel U, & Sankar R. Regulation of kindling epileptogenesis by hippocampal galanin type 1 and type 2 receptors: The effects of subtype-selective agonists and the role of G-protein-mediated signaling. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2006; 318: 700-8.
- McDonald MP & Crawley JN. Galanin-acetylcholine interactions in rodent memory tasks and Alzheimer's disease. J Psychiatry Neurosci 1997; 22(5): 303-317.
- McDonald MP, Gleason TC, Robinson JK, & Crawley JN. Galanin inhibits performance on rodent memory tasks. Ann NY Acad Sci 1998; 863: 305-322.
- McGehee DS, Heath MJ, Gelber S, Devay P, & Role LW. Nicotine enhancement of fast excitatory synaptic transmission in CNS by presynaptic receptors. Science 1995; 269: 1692-6.
- McKee AC, Kosik KS, Kennedy MB, & Kowall NW. Hippocampal neurons predisposed to neurofibrillary tangle formation are enriched in type II calcium/calmodulindependent protein kinase. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 1990; 49: 49-63.
- Melander T, Staines WA, Hökfelt T, Rökaeus A, Eckenstein F, Salvaterra PM, & Wainer BH. Galanin-like immunoreactivity in cholinergic neurons of the septum-basal forebrain complex projecting to the hippocampus of the rat. Brain Res 1985; 360: 130-8.
- Melander T, Staines WA, & Rökaeus A. Galanin-like immunoreactivity in hippocampal afferents in the rat, with special reference to cholinergic and noradrenergic inputs. Neuroscience 1986; 19: 223-40.
- Miguel-Hidalgo JJ, Alvarez XA, Cacabelos R, & Quack G. Neuroprotection by memantine against neurodegeneration induced by beta-amyloid(1-40). Brain Res 2002; 958: 210-21.
- Miller MA, Kolb PE, Planas B, & Raskind MA. Few cholinergic neurons in the rat basal forebrain coexpress galanin messenger RNA. J Comp Neurol 1998; 391: 348-58.
- Mitsukawa K, Lu X, & Bartfai T. Galanin, galanin receptors and drug targets. Cell Mol Life Sci 2008; 65: 1796-1805.
- Moriguchi S, Zhao X, Marszalec W, Yeh JZ, & Narahashi T. Modulation of N-methyl-Daspartate receptors by donepezil in rat cortical neurons. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2005; 315: 125-35.
- Morikawa M, Fryer JD, Sullivan PM, Christopher EA, Wahrle SE, DeMattos RB, O'Dell MA, Fagan AM, Lashuel HA, Walz T, Asai K, & Holtzman DM. Production and characterization of astrocyte-derived human apolipoprotein E isoforms from immortalized astrocytes and their interactions with amyloid-beta. Neurobiol Dis 2005; 19: 66-76.
- Mufson EJ, Cochran E, Benzing W, & Kordower JH. Galaninergic innervation of the cholinergic vertical limb of the diagonal band (Ch2) and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in aging, Alzheimer's disease and Down's syndrome. Dementia 1993; 4: 237-250.
- Mufson EJ, Kahl U, Bowser R, Mash DC, Kordower JH, & Deecher DC. Galanin expression within the basal forebrain in Alzheimer's disease. Ann NY Acad Sci 1998; 863: 291-304.
- Mufson EJ, Deecher DC, Basile M, Izenwasse S, & Mash DC. Galanin receptor plasticity within the nucleus basalis in early and late Alzheimer's disease: an in vitro autoradiographic analysis. Neuropharmacology 2000; 39: 1404-12.



- Mullan M, Crawford F, Axelman K, Houlden H, Lilius L, Winblad B, & Lannfelt L. A pathogenic mutation for probable Alzheimer's disease in the APP gene at the N-terminus of beta-amyloid. Nat Genet 1992; 1: 345-7.
- Murray FE, Landsberg JP, Williams RJ, Esiri MM, & Watt F. Elemental analysis of neurofibrillary tangles in Alzheimer's disease using proton-induced X-ray analysis. Ciba Found Symp 1992; 169: 201-10.
- Murrell J, Farlow M, Ghetti B, & Benson MD. A mutation in the amyloid precursor protein associated with hereditary Alzheimer's disease. Science 1991; 254: 97-99.
- Nakamura S, Tani Y, Maezono Y, Ishihara T, & Ohno T. Learning deficits after unilateral AF64A lesions in the rat basal forebrain: role of cholinergic and noncholinergic systems. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1992; 42: 119-130.
- Nitsch RM, Slack BE, Wurtman RJ, Growdon JH. Release of Alzheimer amyloid precursor derivatives stimulated by activation of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors. Science 1992; 258: 304-07.
- Nordberg A, Hellström-Lindahl E, Lee M, Johnson M, Mousavi M, Hall R, Perry E, & Bednar I, Court J. Chronic nicotine treatment reduces beta-amyloidosis in the brain of a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease (APPsw). J Neurochem 2002; 81: 655-8.
- O'Brien RJ & Fischbach GD. Characterization of excitatory amino acid receptors expressed by embryonic chick motoneurons in vitro. J Neurosci 1986; 6: 3275-83.
- O'Donnell D, Ahmad S, Wahlestedt C, & Walker P. Expression of the novel galanin receptor subtype GALR2 in the adult rat CNS: distinct distribution from GALR1. J Comp Neurol 1999; 409: 469-81.
- Oddo S, Caccamo A, Shepherd JD, Murphy MP, Golde TE, Kayed R, Metherate R, Mattson MP, Akbari Y, & LaFerla FM. Triple-transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease with plaques and tangles: intracellular Abeta and synaptic dysfunction. Neuron 2003a; 39(3): 409-21.
- Oddo S, Caccamo A, Kitazawa M, Tseng BP, & LaFerla FM. Amyloid deposition precedes tangle formation in a triple transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2003b; 24(8): 1063-70.
- Oddo S, Caccamo A, Green KN, Liang K, Tran L, Chen Y, Leslie FM, & LaFerla FM. Chronic nicotine administration exacerbates tau pathology in a transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 3046-51.
- Ogren SO, Kehr J, & Schott PA. Effects of ventral hippocampal galanin on spatial learning and on in vivo acetylcholine release in the rat. Neuroscience 1996; 75(4): 1127-40.
- Ogren SO, Schott PA, Kehr J, Yoshitake T, Misane I, Mannström P & Sandin J. Modulation of acetylcholine and serotonin transmission by galanin. Ann NY Acad Sci 1998; 863: 342-363.
- Ogren SO, Schott PA, Kehr J, Misane I, & Razani H. Galanin and learning. Brain Research 1999; 848: 174-182.
- Ogura H, Kosasa T, Kuriya Y & Yamanishi Y. Donepezil, a centrally acting acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, alleviates learning deficits in hypocholinergic models in rats. Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmcol 2000; 22: 89–95.
- Olney JW & Ho OL. Brain damage in infant mice following oral intake of glutamate, aspartate or cysteine. Nature 1970; 227: 609-611.



- O'Meara G, Coumis U, Ma SY, Kehr J, Mahoney S, Bacon A, Allen SJ, Holmes F, Kahl U, Wang FH, Kearns IR, Ove-Ogren S, Dawbarn D, Mufson EJ, Davies C, Dawson G, & Wynick D. Galanin regulates the postnatal survival of a subset of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000; 97: 11569-74.
- Ono K, Hasegawa K, Yamada M, & Naiki H. Nicotine breaks down preformed Alzheimer's beta-amyloid fibrils in vitro. Biol Psychiatry 2002; 52: 880-6.
- Opello KD, Stackman RW, Ackerman S, & Walsh TJ. AF64A (ethylcholine mustard aziridinium) impairs acquisition and performance of a spatial, but not a cued water maze task: relation to cholinergic hypofunction. Physiol Behav 1993; 54: 1227-1233.
- Palazzi E, Felinska S, Zambelli M, Fisone G, Bartfai T, & Consolo S. Galanin reduces carbachol stimulation of phosphoinositide turnover in rat ventral hippocampus by lowering Ca2+ influx through voltage-sensitive Ca2+ channels. J Neurochem 1991; 56: 739-747.
- Paulson JC & McClure WO. Microtubules and axoplasmic transport. Brain Res 1974; 73: 333-37.
- Paxinos G & Watson C. The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). 1986; Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Perez SE, Wynick D, Steiner RA, & Mufson EJ. Distribution of galaninergic immunoreactivity in the brain of the mouse. J Comp Neurol 2001; 434: 158-85.
- Perez S, Basile M, Mash DC, & Mufson EJ. Galanin receptor over-expression within the amygdala in early Alzheimer's disease: an in vitro autoradiographic analysis. J Chem Neuroanat 2002; 24: 109-16.
- Perry EK, Perry RH, Gibson PH, Blessed G, & Tomlinson BE. A cholinergic connection between normal aging and senile dementia in the human hippocampus. Neurosci Lett 1977; 6: 85-89.
- Perry EK, Blessed G, Tomlinson BE, Perry RH, Crow TJ, Cross AJ, Dockray GJ, Dimaline R, & Arregui A. Neurochemical activities in human temporal lobe related to aging and Alzheimer-type changes. Neurobiol Aging 1981; 2(4): 251-6.
- Perry EK, Morris CM, Court JA, Cheng A, Fairbairn AF, McKeith IG, Irving D, Brown A, & Perry RH. Alteration in nicotine binding sites in Parkinson's disease, Lewy body dementia and Alzheimer's disease: possible index of early neuropathology. Neuroscience 1995; 64: 385-95.
- Peterson C, Gibson GE, & Blass JP. Altered calcium uptake in cultured skin fibroblasts from patients with Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 1985; 312: 1063-5.
- Peterson C & Goldman JE. Alterations in calcium content and biochemical processes in cultured skin fibroblasts from aged and Alzheimer donors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1986; 83: 2758-62.
- Pieribone VA, Xu ZQ, Zhang X, Grillner S, Bartfai T, & Hökfelt T. Galanin induces a hyperpolarization of norepinephrine-containing locus coeruleus neurons in the brainstem slice. Neuroscience 1995; 64(4): 861-74.
- Poorkaj P, Bird TD, Wijsman E, Nemens E, Garruto RM, Anderson L, Andreadis A, Wiederholt WC, Raskind M, & Schellenberg GD. Tau is a candidate gene for chromosome 17 frontotemporal dementia. Ann Neurol 1998; 43: 815-25.



- Price JL, Davis PB, Morris JC, & White DL. The distribution of tangles, plaques and related immunohistochemical markers in healthy aging and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 1991; 12: 295-312.
- Querfurth HW & Selkoe DJ. Calcium ionophore increases amyloid beta peptide production by cultured cells. Biochemistry 1994; 33: 4550-61.
- Quintanilla RA, Orellana DI, González-Billault C, & Maccioni RB. Interleukin-6 induces Alzheimer-type phosphorylation of tau protein by deregulating the cdk5/p35 pathway. Exp Cell Res 2004; 295: 245-57.
- Ramsden M, Kotilinek L, Forster C, Paulson J, McGowan E, SantaCruz K, Guimaraes A, Yue M, Lewis J, Carlson G, Hutton M, & Ashe KH. Age-dependent neurofibrillary tangle formation, neuron loss, and memory impairment in a mouse model of human tauopathy (P301L). J Neurosci 2005; 25(46): 10637-10647.
- Raza M, Deshpande LS, Blair RE, Carter DS, Sombati S, & DeLorenzo RJ. Aging is associated with elevated intracellular calcium levels and altered calcium homeostatic mechanisms in hippocampal neurons. Neurosci Lett 2007; 418: 77-81.
- Robinson JK & Crawley JN. Intraseptal galanin potentiates scopolamine impairment of delayed nonmatching to sample. J Neurosci 1993; 13(12): 5119-5125.
- Robinson JK, Zocchi A, Pert A & Crawley JN. Galanin microinjected into the medial septum inhibits scopolamine-induced acetylcholine overflow in the rat ventral hippocampus. Brain Res 1996; 709: 81–87.
- Rogers JL & Kesner RP. Cholinergic modulation of the hippocampus during encoding and retrieval of tone/shock-induced fear conditioning. Learn Mem 2004; 11: 102-107.
- Rogers SL, & Friedhoff LT. The efficacy and safety of donepezil in patients with Alzheimer's disease: results of a US Multicentre, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. The Donepezil Study Group. Dementia 1996; 7: 293-303.
- Rogers SL, Farlow MR, Doody RS, Mohs R, & Friedhoff LT. A 24-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of donepezil in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Donepezil Study Group. Neurology 1998; 50: 136-45.
- Rogers SL, Doody RS, Pratt RD, & Ieni JR. Long-term efficacy and safety of donepezil in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: final analysis of a US multicentre openlabel study. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2000; 10(3): 195-203.
- Roher AE, Chaney MO, Kuo YM, Webster SD, Stine WB, Haverkamp LJ, Woods AS, Cotter RJ, Tuohy JM, Krafft GA, Bonnell BS, & Emmerling MR. Morphology and toxicity of Abeta-(1-42) dimer derived from neuritic and vascular amyloid deposits of Alzheimer's disease. J Biol Chem 1996; 271: 20631-5.
- Role LW & Berg DK. Nicotinic receptors in the development and modulation of CNS synapses. Neuron 1996; 16:1077–85.
- Rosler M, Anand R, Cicin-Sain A, Gauthier S, Agid Y, Dal-Bianco P, Stähelin HB, Hartman R, & Gharabawi M. Efficacy and safety of rivastigmine in patients with Alzheimer's disease: international randomised controlled trial. BMJ 1999; 318: 633-8.



- Sabbagh MN, Farlow MR, Relkin N, & Beach TG. Do cholinergic therapies have disease-modifying effects in Alzheimer's disease? Alzheimer's & Dementia 2006; 2: 118-125.
- Sabolek HR, Bunce JG, & Chrobak JJ. Intraseptal tacrine-induced disruptions of spatial memory performance. Behav Brain Res 2005; 158: 1-7.
- Sadot E, Gurwitz D, Barg J, Behar L, Ginzburg I, & Fisher A. Activation of m1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor regulates tau phosphorylation in transfected PC12 cells. J Neurochem 1996; 66: 877-80.
- Sakurai E, Maeda T, Kaneko S, Akaike A, & Satoh M. Galanin inhibits long-term potentiation at Schaffer collateral-CA1 synapses in guinea-pig hippocampal slices. Neurosci Lett 1996; 212: 21-4.
- Salomon AR, Marcinowski KJ, Friedland RP, & Zagorski MG. Nicotine inhibits amyloid formation by the beta-peptide. Biochemistry 1996; 35: 13568-78.
- Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel D, George-Hyslop PH, Pericak-Vance MA, Joo SH, Rosi BL, Gusella JF, Crapper-MacLachlan DR, Alberts MJ, et al. Association of apolipoprotein E allele epsilon 4 with late-onset familial and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 1993; 43: 1467-1472.
- Schellenberg GD. Genetic dissection of Alzheimer disease, a heterogeneous disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995; 92: 8552-59.
- Seabrook GR, Smith DW, Bowery BJ, Easter A, Reynolds T, Fitzjohn SM, Morton RA, Zheng H, Dawson GR, Sirinathsinghji DJ, Davies CH, Collingridge GL, Hill RG. Mechanisms contributing to the deficits in hippocampal synaptic plasticity in mice lacking amyloid precursor protein. Neuropharmacology 1999; 38(3): 349-359.
- Selkoe DJ. Normal and abnormal biology of the beta-amyloid precursor protein. Annu Rev Neurosci 1994; 17: 489-517.
- Selkoe DJ. Alzheimer's Disease: genes, proteins, and therapy. Physiol Rev 2001; 81(2): 741-766.
- Sendera TJ, Ma SY, Jaffar S, Kozlowski PB, Kordower JH, Mawal Y, Saragovi HU, & Mufson EJ. Reduction in TrkA-immunoreactive neurons is not associated with an overexpression of galaninergic fibers within the nucleus basalis in Down's syndrome. J Neurochem 2000; 74: 1185-96.
- Senechal Y, Kelly PH, & Dev KK. Amyloid precursor protein knockout mice show agedependent deficits in passive avoidance learning. Behav Brain Res 2008; 186(1): 126-132.
- Sheehan JP, Swerdlow RH, Miller SW, Davis RE, Parks JK, Parker WD, & Tuttle JB. Calcium homeostasis and reactive oxygen species production in cells transformed by mitochondria from individuals with sporadic Alzheimer's disease. J Neurosci 1997; 17(12): 4612-22.
- Shen PJ, Larm JA, & Gundlach AL. Expression and plasticity of galanin systems in cortical neurons, oligodendrocyte progenitors and proliferative zones in normal brain and after spreading depression. Eur J Neurosci 2003; 18: 1362-76.
- Sheng JG, Zhu SG, Jones RA, Griffin WS, & Mrak RE. Interleukin-1 promotes expression and phosphorylation of neurofilament and tau proteins in vivo. Exp Neurol 2000; 163: 388-91.



- Sherrington R, Rogaev EI, Liang Y, Rogaeva EA, Levesque G, Ikeda M, Chi H, Lin C, Li G, Holman K, et al. Cloning of a gene bearing missense mutations in earlyonset familial Alzheimer's disease. Nature 1995; 375: 754-760.
- Shoji M, Golde TE, Ghiso J, Cheung TT, Estus S, Shaffer LM, Cai XD, McKay DM, Tintner R, Frangione B, et al. Production of the Alzheimer amyloid beta protein by normal proteolytic processing. Science 1992; 258: 126-9.
- Sisodia SS & Price DL. Role of the beta-amyloid protein in Alzheimer's disease. FASEB J 1995; 9: 366-70.
- Skaper SD. The brain as a target for inflammatory processes and neuroprotective strategies. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2007; 1122: 23-34.
- Souren LE, Franssen EH, & Reisberg B. Contractures and loss of function in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Journal of the American Geriatric Society 1995; 43 (6): 650–655.
- Smith G. Animal models of Alzheimer's disease: experimental cholinergic denervation. Brain Research Reviews 1988; 13: 103-118.
- Smith KE, Walker MW, Artymyshyn R, Bard J, Borowsky B, Tamm JA, Yao WJ, Vaysse PJ, Branchek TA, Gerald C, & Jones KA. Cloned human and rat galanin GALR3 receptors. Pharmacology and activation of G-protein inwardly rectifying K+ channels. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 23321-26.
- Spencer DG Jr & Lal H. Effects of anticholinergic drugs on learning and memory. Drug Dev Res 1983; 3: 489-502.
- Spencer DG Jr, Pontecorvo MJ, & Heise GA. Central cholinergic involvement in working memory: effects of scopolamine on continuous nonmatching and discrimination performance in the rat. Behav Neurosci 1985; 99: 1049-65.
- Spillantini MG, Murrell JR, Goedert M, Farlow MR, Klug A, & Ghetti B. Mutation in the tau gene in familial multiple system tauopathy with presenile dementia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998; 95: 7737-41.
- Spowart-Manning L & van der Staay FJ. Spatial discrimination deficits by excitotoxic lesions in the Morris water escape task. Behavioural Brain Research 2005; 156: 269-276.
- Steiner H, Duff K, Capell A, Romig H, Grim MG, Lincoln S, Hardy J, Yu X, Picciano M, Fechteler K, Citron M, Kopan R, Pesold B, Keck S, Baader M, Tomita T, Iwatsubo T, Baumeister R, & Haass C. A loss of function mutation of presenilin-2 interferes with amyloid beta-peptide production and notch signaling. J Biol Chem 1999; 274: 28669-73.
- Steiner RA, Hohmann JG, Holmes A, Wrenn CC, Cadd G, Juréus A, Clifton DK, Luo M, Gutshall M, Ma SY, Mufson EJ, & Crawley JN. Galanin transgenic mice display cognitive and neurochemical deficits characteristic of Alzheimer's disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2001; 98 (7): 4184-4189.
- Sturchler-Pierrat C, Abramowski D, Duke M, Wiederhold KH, Mistl C, Rothacher S, Ledermann B, Bürki K, Frey P, Paganetti PA, Waridel C, Calhoun ME, Jucker M, Probst A, Staufenbiel M, Sommer B. Two amyloid precursor protein transgenic mouse models with Alzheimer disease-like pathology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997; 94: 13287-92.
- Sugimoto H, Tsuchiya Y, Sugumi H, Higurashi K, Karibe N, Iimura Y, Sasaki A, Kawakami Y, Nakamura T, Araki S, et al. Novel piperidine derivatives. Synthesis



and anti-acetylcholinesterase activity of 1-benzyl-4-[2-(N-benzoylamino)ethyl]piperidine derivatives. J Med Chem 1990; 33: 1880-7.

- Suzuki N, Cheung TT, Cai XD, Odaka A, Otvos L Jr, Eckman C, Golde TE, & Younkin SG. An increased percentage of long amyloid beta protein secreted by familial amyloid beta protein precursor (beta APP717) mutants. Science 1994; 264: 1336-40.
- Takada-Takatori Y, Kume T, Sugimoto M, Katsuki H, Sugimoto H, & Akaike A. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors used in treatment of Alzheimer's disease prevent glutamate neurotoxicity via nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase cascade. Neuropharmacology 2006; 51: 474-86.
- Takasugi N, Tomita T, Hayashi I, Tsuruoka M, Niimura M, Takahashi Y, Thinakaran G, & Iwatsubo T. The role of presenilin cofactors in the gamma-secretase complex. Nature 2003; 422: 438-441.
- Takeuchi A, Irizarry MC, Duff K, Saido TC, Hsiao Ashe K, Hasegawa M, Mann DM, Hyman BT, & Iwatsubo T. Age-related amyloid beta deposition in transgenic mice overexpressing both Alzheimer mutant presenilin 1 and amyloid beta precursor protein Swedish mutant is not associated with global neuronal loss. Am J Pathol 2000; 157: 331-9.
- Tan J, Town T, Paris D, Mori T, Suo Z, Crawford F, Mattson MP, Flavell RA, & Mullan M. Microglial activation resulting from CD40-CD40L interaction after betaamyloid stimulation. Science 1999; 286: 2352-5.
- Tariot PN, Solomon PR, Morris JC, Kershaw P, Lilienfeld S, & Ding C. A 5-month, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of galantamine in AD. The Galantamine USA-10 Study Group. Neurology 2000; 54: 2269-76.
- Tariot PN, Farlow MR, Grossberg GT, Graham SM, McDonald S, & Gergel I; Memantine Study Group. Memantine treatment in patients with moderate to severe Alzheimer disease already receiving donepezil: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004; 291: 317-24.
- Tatemoto K, Rokaeus A, Jornvall H, McDonald TJ, & Mutt V. Galanin a novel biologically active peptide from porcine intestine. FEBS Lett 1983; 164: 124-128.
- Taylor-Robinson SD, Weeks RA, Sargentoni J, Marcus CD, Bryant DJ, Harding AE, & Brooks DJ. Evidence for glutamate excitotoxicity in Huntington's disease with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Lancet 1994; 343: 1170.
- Terry RD & Katzman R. Senile dementia of the Alzheimer type. Ann Neurol 1983; 14: 497-506.
- Terry RD, Masliah E, Salmon DP, Butters N, DeTeresa R, Hill R, Hansen LA, & Katzman R. Physical basis of cognitive alterations in Alzheimer's disease: synapse loss is the major correlate of cognitive impairment. Ann Neurol 1991; 30: 572-580.
- Thibault O & Landfield PW. Increase in single L-type calcium channels in hippocampal neurons during aging. Science 1996; 272: 1017-1020.
- Thibault O, Gant JC, & Landfield PW. Expansion of the calcium hypothesis of brain aging and Alzheimer's disease: minding the store. Aging Cell 2007; 6: 307-317.
- Tokuda T, Calero M, Matsubara E, Vidal R, Kumar A, Permanne B, Zlokovic B, Smith JD, Ladu MJ, Rostagno A, Frangione B, & Ghiso J. Lipidation of apolipoprotein



E influences its isoform-specific interaction with Alzheimer's amyloid beta peptides. Biochem J 2000; 348 Pt 2: 359-65.

- Unterberger U, Höftberger R, Gelpi E, Flicker H, Budka H, & Voigtländer T. Endoplasmic reticulum stress features are prominent in Alzheimer disease but not in prion diseases in vivo. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2006; 65(4): 348-57.
- Vale C, Alonso E, Rubiolo JA, Vieytes MR, LaFerla FM, Gimenez-Llort L, & Botana LM. Profile for amyloid-beta and tau expression in primary cortical cultures from 3xTg-AD mice. Cell Mol Neurobiol 2010; 30: 577-590.
- Valentino RJ & Dingledine R. Presynaptic inhibitory effect of acetylcholine in the hippocampus. J Neurosci 1981; 1: 784-92.
- Van Dam D, Abramowski D, Staufenbiel M, & De Deyn PP. Symptomatic effect of donepezil, rivastigmine, galantamine and memantine on cognitive deficits in the APP23 model. Psychopharmacology 2005; 180: 177-190.
- Van Dam D, Coen K, & De Deyn PP. Cognitive evaluation of disease-modifying efficacy of donepezil in the APP23 mouse model for Alzheimer's disease. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) 2008; 197: 37-43.
- Vassar R, Bennett BD, Babu-Khan S, Kahn S, Mendiaz EA, Denis P, Teplow DB, Ross S, Amarante P, Loeloff R, Luo Y, Fisher S, Fuller J, Edenson S, Lile J, Jarosinski MA, Biere AL, Curran E, Burgess T, Louis JC, Collins F, Treanor J, Rogers G, & Citron M. Beta-secretase cleavage of Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein by the transmembrane aspartic protease BACE. Science 1999; 286: 735-41.
- Verkhratsky A. Physiology and pathophysiology of the calcium store in the endoplasmic reticulum of neurons. Physiol Rev 2005; 85(1): 201-79.
- Waldemar G, Dubois B, Emre M, Georges J, McKeith IG, Rossor M, Scheltens P, Tariska P, & Winblad B. Recommendations for the diagnosis and management of Alzheimer's disease and other disorders associated with dementia: EFNS guideline. European Journal of Neurology 2007; 14 (1): E1–26.
- Walli R, Schäfer H, Morys-Wortmann C, Paetzold G, Nustede R, & Schmidt WE. Identification and biochemical characterization of the human brain galanin receptor. J Mol Endocrinol 1994; 13: 347-56.
- Walsh TJ, Tilson HA, DeHaven DL, Mailman RB, Fisher A, & Hanin I. AF64A, a cholinergic neurotoxin, selectively depletes acetylcholine in hippocampus and cortex, and produces long-term passive avoidance and radial-arm maze deficits in the rat. Brain Res 1984; 321: 91-102.
- Wang S, Hashemi T, Fried S, Clemmons AL, & Hawes BE. Differential intracellular signaling of the GalR1 and GalR2 galanin receptor subtypes. Biochemistry 1998; 37: 6711-7.
- Wang XD, Chen XQ, Yang HH, & Hu GY. Comparison of the effects of cholinesterase inhibitors on [3H]MK-801 binding in rat cerebral cortex. Neurosci Lett 1999; 51: 527-34.
- Weingarten MD, Lockwood AH, Hwo SY, & Kirschner MW. A protein factor essential for microtubule assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1975; 72: 1858-62.
- Wevers A & Schroder H. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 1999; 1: 207-19.
- Wevers A, Burghaus L, Moser N, Witter B, Steinlein OK, Schütz U, Achnitz B, Krempel U, Nowacki S, Pilz K, Stoodt J, Lindstrom J, De Vos RA, Jansen Steur EN, &



Schröder H. Expression of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in Alzheimer's disease: postmortem investigations and experimental approaches. Behav Brain Res 2000; 113: 207-15.

- Whitehouse JM. Cholinergic mechanisms in discrimination learning as a function of stimuli. J Comp Physiol Psychol 1967; 63: 448-51.
- Whitehouse PJ, Price DL, Struble RG, Clark AW, Coyle JT, & Delon MR. Alzheimer's disease and senile dementia: loss of neurons in the basal forebrain. Science 1982; 215: 1237-9.
- Wilson CA, Doms RW, Zheng H, & Lee VM. Presenilins are not required for A beta 42 production in the early secretory pathway. Nat Neurosci 2002; 5(9): 849-55.
- Winblad B & Poritis N. Memantine in severe dementia: results of the 9M-Best Study (Benefit and efficacy in severely demented patients during treatment with memantine). Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 1999; 14: 135-46.
- Wisniewski KE, Wisniewski HM, & Wen GY. Occurrence of neuropathological changes and dementia of Alzheimer's disease in Down's syndrome. Ann Neurol 1985; 17: 278-82.
- Wittau N, Grosse R, Kalkbrenner F, Gohla A, Schultz G, & Gudermann T. The galanin receptor type 2 initiates multiple signaling pathways in small cell lung cancer cells by coupling to G(q), G(i) and G(12) proteins. Oncogene 2000; 19: 4199-209.
- Wolfe MS, Xia W, Ostaszewski BL, Diehl TS, Kimberly WT, & Selkoe DJ. Two transmembrane aspartates in presenilin-1 required for presenilin endoproteolysis and γ-secretase activity. Nature 1999; 398: 513-517.
- Woolf NJ. The critical role of cholinergic basal forebrain neurons in morphological change and memory encoding: a hypothesis. Neurobiol Learn Mem 1996; 66: 258-66.
- Wrenn CC & Crawley JN. Pharmacological evidence supporting a role for galanin in cognition and affect. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2001; 25: 283-99.
- Wyss-Coray T, & Mucke L. Inflammation in neurodegenerative disease--a double-edged sword. Neuron 2002; 35(3): 419-32.
- Xu ZQ, Zhang X, Pieribone VA, Grillner S, & Hökfelt T. Galanin-5-hydroxytryptamine interactions: electrophysiological, immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization studies on rat dorsal raphe neurons with a note on galanin R1 and R2 receptors. Neuroscience 1998; 87(1): 79-94.
- Yamanishi Y, Ogura H, Kosasa T, Araki S, Sawa Y & Yamatsu K. Inhibitory action of E2020, a novel acetylcholineasteraseinhibitor, on cholinesterase: comparison with other inhibitors. In Basic, Clinical, and Therapeutic Aspects of Alzheimer's and Parkinson's Diseases, Vol 2, Edited by Nagatsu T, pp 409 – 413, Plenum Press, New York (1991).
- Yoshida H & Ihara Y. Tau in paired helical filaments is functionally distinct from fetal tau: assembly incompetence of paired helical filament-tau. J Neurochem 1993; 61: 1183-6.
- Yu H, Saura CA, Choi SY, Sun LD, Yang X, Handler M, Kawarabayashi T, Younkin L, Fedeles B, Wilson MA, Younkin S, Kandel ER, Kirkwood A, & Shen J. APP processing and synaptic plasticity in presenilin-1 conditional knockout mice. Neuron 2001; 31: 713-26.



- Zachariou V, Georgescu D, Kansal L, Merriam P, & Picciotto MR. Galanin receptor 1 gene expression is regulated by cyclic AMP through a CREB-dependent mechanism. J Neurochem 2001; 76: 191-200.
- Zeng H, Zhang Y, Peng L, Shao H, Menon NK, Yang J, Salomon AR, Freidland RP, & Zagorski MG. Nicotine and amyloid formation. Biol Psychiatry 2001; 49: 248-57.
- Zheng WH, Bastianetto S, Mennicken F, Ma W, & Kar S. Amyloid beta peptide induces tau phosphorylation and loss of cholinergic neurons in rat primary septal cultures. Neuroscience 2002; 115(1): 201-11.
- Zini S, Roisin MP, Langel U, Bartfai T, & Ben-Ari Y. Galanin reduces release of endogenous excitatory amino acids in the rat hippocampus. Eur J Pharmacol 1993; 245: 1-7.



## VITA

## Graduate College University of Nevada, Las Vegas

## Jonathan Jacob Sabbagh

Degrees:

Bachelor of Science, Neuroscience, 2004 Tulane University

Thesis Title: Donepezil and Galanin Interactions in an Animal Model of Alzheimer's Disease

Thesis Examination Committee:

Chairperson, Jefferson Kinney, Ph. D. Committee Member, Daniel Allen, Ph. D. Committee Member, Laurel Pritchard, Ph. D. Graduate Faculty Representative, Frank van Breukelen, Ph. D.

